From:	Mike W Waste	/hiting, Cabinet Member for Planning, Highways, Transport and
	Mike H	ill, Cabinet Member for Community and Regulatory Services
	Barbar Transp	a Cooper, Corporate Director of Growth of Environment & ort
То:	Enviror	nment and Transport Cabinet Committee – 20 March 2018
Subject:	Risk M	anagement: Growth, Environment and Transport Directorate
Classification:	Unrest	ricted
Past Pathway of Pa	aper:	None
Future Pathway of	Paper:	None
Electoral Division:		All

## Summary:

This paper presents the strategic risks relating to the Environment and Transport Cabinet Committee, in addition to two risks featuring on the Corporate Risk Register for which the Corporate Director is the designated 'Risk Owner' on behalf of the Corporate Management Team. The paper also explains the management process for reviewing key risks.

## Recommendation(s):

The Cabinet Committee is asked to consider and comment on the directorate risk register and relevant corporate risks outlined in Appendices 1 and 2.

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1 Directorate business plans are reported to Cabinet Committees each March / April as part of the Authority's business planning process. The plans include a section relating to key directorate risks, which are set out in more detail in this paper.
- 1.2 Risk management is a key element of the Council's Internal Control Framework and the requirement to maintain risk registers ensures that potential risks that may prevent the Authority from achieving its objectives are identified and controlled. The process of developing the registers is therefore important in underpinning business planning, performance management and service procedures. Risks outlined in risk registers are taken into account in the development of the Internal Audit programme for the year.

- 1.3 Directorate risk registers are reported to Cabinet Committees annually, and contain strategic or cross-cutting risks that potentially affect several functions across the Growth, Environment & Transport directorate, and often have wider potential interdependencies with other services across the Council and external parties.
- 1.4 Corporate Directors also lead or coordinate mitigating actions in conjunction with other Directors across the organisation to manage risks featuring on the Corporate Risk Register. The Corporate Director for Growth, Environment & Transport Directorate is designated 'Risk Owner' on behalf of CMT for several corporate risks, two of which (CRR0003 access to resources to aid economic growth and enabling infrastructure; and CRR0004 contingencies and resilience) are of relevance to this Committee and are presented for comment in Appendix 1. CRR0003 access to resources to aid economic growth and enabling infrastructure, has been assessed as being "High" (Red). Mitigation controls and actions are outlined within the detail of the risk in Appendix 1.
- 1.5 A standard reporting format is used to facilitate the gathering of consistent risk information and a 5x5 matrix is used to rank the scale of risk in terms of likelihood of occurrence and impact. Firstly, the current level of risk is assessed, taking into account any controls already in place to mitigate the risk. If the current level of risk is deemed unacceptable, a 'target' risk level is set and further mitigating actions introduced with the aim of reducing the risk to a tolerable and realistic level.
- 1.6 The numeric score in itself is less significant than its importance in enabling categorisation of risks and prioritisation of any management action. Further information on KCC risk management methodologies can be found in the risk management guide on the KNet intranet site.

## 2. Financial Implications

2.1 Many of the strategic risks outlined have financial consequences, which highlight the importance of effective identification, assessment, evaluation and management of risk to ensure optimum value for money.

## 3. Policy Framework

- 3.1 Risks highlighted in the risk registers relate to strategic priorities and outcomes featured in KCC's Strategic Statement 2015-2020, as well as the delivery of statutory responsibilities.
- 3.2 The presentation of risk registers to Cabinet Committees is a requirement of the County Council's Risk Management Policy.

## 4. Risks relating to the Growth, Environment & Transport directorate

- 4.1 There are currently six directorate risks featured on the Growth, Environment & Transport directorate risk register (appendix 2), two of which are rated as 'High'. (GT0020- Identification, planning and delivery of Medium Term Financial Plan targets and GT 0021 Internal supply chain not offering required level of service). Many of the risks highlighted on the register are discussed as part of regular items to Cabinet Committees.
- 4.2 Since last reported to Cabinet Committee in March 2017, the previously recorded finance risk has been split in two, reflecting differing factors and risk levels associated with delivery of in-year budget targets versus identification, planning and delivery of medium-term savings and income. The previous risk relating to partner organisations / contractors not offering the required level of service has been narrowed to focus on the internal supply chain. One risk has been taken off the directorate register relating to loss of ICT systems, with the risk now being held at divisional level as appropriate.
- 4.3 Mitigations for risks are developed and implemented on a regular basis as required. For example, in relation to GT0001 Health and Safety considerations, conflict resolution training has been organised, completed and evaluated for library staff and the service's lone working policy is being reviewed. There are also regular exercises throughout the year to test services' preparedness for and response to severe weather incidents (GT0003), and there is a GET directorate Organisation Development Group developing a forward-looking workforce strategy to identify skills needed for the medium to long term, with an action plan to follow that aims to mitigate the potential skills shortages and capacity issues in applying for funding and managing contracts and projects.
- 4.4 The Libraries, Registration & Archives service has conducted a comprehensive review of risks and opportunities to achievement of service objectives, including piloting a structured approach to management of opportunities, with the potential for this approach to be rolled out more widely across the Authority.
- 4.5 Inclusion of risks on a register does not necessarily mean there is a problem. On the contrary, it can give reassurance that they have been properly identified and are being managed proactively.
- 4.6 Monitoring & Review risk registers should be regarded as 'living' documents to reflect the dynamic nature of risk management. Directorate Management Teams formally review their risk registers, including progress against mitigating actions, on a quarterly basis as a minimum, although individual risks can be identified and added to the register at any time. Key questions to be asked when reviewing risks are:

- Are the key risks still relevant?
- Have some risks become issues?
- Has anything occurred which could impact upon them?
- Has the risk appetite or tolerance levels changed?
- Are related performance / early warning indicators appropriate?
- Are the controls in place effective?
- Has the current risk level changed and if so is it decreasing or increasing?
- Has the "target" level of risk been achieved?
- If risk profiles are increasing what further actions might be needed?
- If risk profiles are decreasing can controls be relaxed?
- Are there risks that need to be discussed with or communicated to other functions across the Council or with other stakeholders?

## 5. Recommendation

### **Recommendation:**

The Cabinet Committee is asked to consider and comment on the directorate risk register and relevant corporate risks outlined in appendices 1 and 2.

## 6. Background Documents

6.1 KCC Risk Management Policy on KNet intranet site.

## 7. Contact details

**Report Author** 

- •Mark Scrivener, Corporate Risk Manager
- •Tel: 03000 416660
- •<u>Mark.scrivener@kent.gov.uk</u>

Relevant Corporate Director:

- •Barbara Cooper, Corporate Director, Growth, Environment and Transport
- •Tel: 03000 415981
- Barbara.cooper@kent.gov.uk

## APPENDIX 1

### Corporate Risks of particular relevance to the Growth Environment & Transport Directorate Summary Risk Profile

Risk No.	Risk Title	Current Risk Rating	Target Risk Rating	Direction of Travel since March 2017
CRR 0003	Access to resources to aid economic growth and enabling infrastructure	16 (High)	12 (Medium)	¢
CRR 0004	Civil Contingencies and Resilience	12 (Medium)	8 (Medium)	⇔

Low = 1-6 Medium = 8-15 High = 16-25

NB: Current & Target risk ratings: The 'current' risk rating refers to the current level of risk taking into account any mitigating controls already in place. The 'target residual' rating represents what is deemed to be a realistic level of risk to be achieved once any additional actions have been put in place. On some occasions the aim will be to contain risk at current level.

•

	Likelihood & Impact Scales								
Likelihood	Likelihood Very Unlikely (1) Unlikely (2) Possible (3) Likely (4) Very Likely (5)								
Impact	Minor (1)	Moderate (2)	Significant (3)	Serious (4)	Major (5)				

Kent CC		GET L	ed Corp.	orate Risks					Kent County Council kent.gov.uk	
Risk Register - Corporate R	Risk Register		ıt Risk Level Summa nt Risk Level Chan <u>ç</u>		Amber 1	Red	1 Tot	al 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Risk Title and Cause				Risk Ref	CRR0003	Owner	Last Re	eview date	Next Review	v Date
However, in parts of Kent, there is a signi through s106 contributions, Community Ir competitive and increasingly linked with th	growth and enabling infrastructure o develop the enabling infrastructure for econ- ficant gap between the costs of the infrastruc infrastructure Levy and other growth levers to he delivery of housing and employment outpu- ies of funding there may be to replace EU fun	ture required to pay for it. At the its.	support growth and same time, Gover	the Council's ability to secur		Barbara Cooper	20/12/	2017	20/03/2018	
Risk Event	Consequence	Current Risk	Previous Current Risk	Control / Action			Control / Action	Target Date	Days Overdue	Target Risk Level
Inability to secure sufficient contributions from development to support growth. Funders do not recognise Kent priorities for investment. Lack of resources to continuously shape and determine bids.	Key opportunities for growth missed. The Council finds it increasingly difficult to fund KCC services across Kent (e.g. schools, waste services) and deal with the impact of growth on communities. Kent becomes a less attractive location for inward investment and business. Our ability to deliver an enabling infrastructure becomes constrained. Reputational risk.	High 16 Serious (4) Likely (4)		<ul> <li>Engage with stakeholders agreed Enterprise &amp; Prod 2018-2050</li> <li>Growth &amp; Infrastructure F interim refresh being cond reviewing key actions aris framework</li> <li>Contribute to refresh of S Plan</li> <li>Strong engagement with 3 and with central Governm KCC is in a strong positio resources from future fund</li> <li>Dedicated team in Econor in place, working with othe directorates, to lead on ma Kent.</li> <li>Coordinated approach in Development Investment service directorates</li> </ul>	uctivity Strategy ramework – ducted including ing from the trategic Economic South East LEP ent to ensure that n to secure ding rounds. nic Development r KCC ajor sites across	David Smith Tom Marchant Barbara Cooper Dave Hughes David Smith David Smith	A -Accepted A -Accepted Control Control	31/03/2018		12

0	5		
		Strong engagement of private sector through Kent and Medway Economic Partnership (KMEP), Business Advisory Board and Kent Developer Group	Control
		Continued co-ordinated dialogue with developers, Districts and KCC service directorates	Control
		Local Transport Plan 4 produced and Tom Marchar approved by County Council	t Control
		<ul> <li>KCC is actively engaged in preparation of</li> <li>local plans across Kent and Medway, responding to all consultations.</li> </ul>	nt Control
		Environment Planning & Enforcement and Economic Development teams working with each individual District on composition of infrastructure plans including priorities for the CIL and Section 106 contributions, from which gaps can be identified.	
		Growth and Infrastructure Framework for • Kent and Medway published, setting out the infrastructure needed to deliver planned growth.	Control
		Infrastructure Funding Group established and receives regular performance reports, potential issues for resolution and highlights funding gaps etc.	r Control
		Organisational Development plan is targeting gaps in resources to support bids Team	Control

#### Risk Register - Corporate Risk Register

Risk Title and Cause	Risk Ref	CRR0004	Owner	Last Review date	Next Review Date
Contingencies and Resilience			Barbara Cooper	20/12/2017	20/03/2018
The Council, along with other Category 1 Responders in the County, has a legal duty to establish and deliver containment actions and	contingency plar	ns to reduce the			
likelihood, and impact, of high impact incidents and emergencies. This includes responses associated with the Counter-terrorism and Security Act 2015 (CONTEST).					
The Director of Public Health has a legal duty to gain assurance from the National Health Service and Public Health England that plans	s are in place to i	mitigate risks to			
the health of the public including outbreaks of communicable diseases e.g. Pandemic Influenza. Ensuring that the Council works effectively with partners to respond to, and recover from, emergencies and service interruption is beco					

light of recent national and international security threats, severe weather incidents and the increasing threat of 'cyber attacks' (see risk CRR 0014).

Risk Event	Consequence	Current Risk	Previous Current Risk	Control / Action		Control / Action	Target Date	Days Overdue	Target Risk Leve
Failure to deliver suitable planning	Potential increased harm or loss of life if	Medium		Implementation of a more formalised team structure across the council for emergency	Amanda Beer	A -Accepted	31/03/2018		Medium
Failure to deliver suitable planning measures, respond to and manage these events when they occur. Critical services are unprepared or have neffective emergency and business continuity plans and associated activities. Lack of resilience in the supply chain nampers effective response to ncidents.	response is not effective. Serious threat to delivery of critical	Medium 12 Serious (4) Possible (3)		<ul> <li>structure across the council for emergency planning, ensuring it is embedded as part of the corporate responsibilities of managers.</li> <li>Contribute to the Kent Resilience Forum Local Authorities Emergency Planning group's updating of mutual aid arrangements with District Councils, other councils across the region.</li> <li>Exercise the procedures for a move in national threat level</li> <li>Respond to any issues arising from upcoming audit of KCC Business Continuity arrangements</li> <li>Prevent Duty Delivery Board established to oversee the activity of the Kent Channel Panel, co-ordinate Prevent activity across</li> </ul>	Amanda Beer Fiona Gaffney Katie Stewart Corporate Management Team Anu Singh	A -Accepted A -Accepted A -Accepted A -Accepted Control	31/03/2018 30/04/2018		Medium 8
				<ul> <li>the County and report to other relevant strategic bodies in the county</li> <li>Management of financial impact to include Bellwin scheme</li> <li>Local multi-agency flood response plans in place for each district/borough in Kent, in</li> </ul>	Cath Head Tom Marchant	Control			
				<ul><li>addition to overarching flood response plan for Kent</li><li>Fire Safety Guidance provided by KCC reviewed and updated</li></ul>	Flavio Walker	Control			

Senior Management on-call rota devised Katie Stewart Control     and agreed	
KCC Business Continuity Management Katie Stewart Control     Policy and overarching Business Continuity     Plan in place, underpinned by business     continuity plans at service level.	
Local procedures have been and are being Katie Stewart Control     continually reviewed and refined for     occasions the national threat level     increases to critical. This includes an     update of the Corporate Business     Continuity Plan.	
New Quality Assurance approach Katie Stewart Control     introduced for business continuity plans to     emphasise service accountability. This     includes the testing of interdependencies     between KCC business continuity plans     and those of 3rd parties.	
New approach to Business Continuity Katie Stewart Control     Governance arrangements to enable     increased focus on directorate Issues     implemented	
Multi-agency recovery structures are in Katie Stewart Control     place at the strategic and tactical levels     and working effectively	
<ul> <li>Emergency planning training rolled out at strategic, tactical and operational levels. KCC Resilience Programme in place to deliver further training opportunities and exercises regularly conducted to test different elements of KCC emergency and business continuity arrangements with partners (e.g. Exercise 'Loki@ and Exercise 'Surge').</li> </ul>	
On-going programme of review relating to Michael Lloyd Control ICT Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity arrangements.	
ICT resilience improvements are Michael Lloyd Control embedded as part of the ICT Transformation Programme.	

-					
		Legally required multi-agency Kent Resilience Forum in place, with work driven by risk and impact based on Kent's Community Risk Register. Includes sub-groups relating to Health and Severe Weather.	Mike Overbeke	Control	
		<ul> <li>Kent Resilience team in place bringing together personnel from KCC, Kent Police and Kent Fire &amp; Rescue Service in an integrated and co-located team to deliver enhanced emergency planning and business continuity in Kent</li> </ul>	Mike Overbeke	Control	
		<ul> <li>Winter Resilience Planning Group and action plan in place</li> </ul>	Mike Overbeke	Control	
		<ul> <li>Kent Channel Panel (early intervention mechanism providing tailored support to people who have been identified as at risk of being drawn into terrorism) established at district and borough level.</li> </ul>	Nick Wilkinson	Control	
		Implementation of Kent's Climate Adaption Action Plan	Carolyn McKenzie	Control	
		• The Director of Public Health works through local resilience fora to ensure effective and tested plans are in place for the wider health sector to protect the local population from risks to public health.	Andrew Scott-Clark	Control	
		<ul> <li>KCC and local Kent Resilience Forum partners have tested preparedness for chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear and explosives (CBRNE) incidents and communicable disease outbreaks in line with national requirements.</li> </ul>	Andrew Scott-Clark	Control	



# **APPENDIX 2**

## GROWTH, ENVIRONMENT AND TRANSPORT DIRECTORATE RISK REGISTER March 2018

## Summary Risk Profile

## Low = 1-6 Medium = 8-15 High = 16-25

Risk No.*	Risk Title	Current Risk Rating	Change since Spring 2017	Target Risk Rating
GT 0001	Health & Safety considerations	10 (Medium)	⇔	10 (Medium)
GT 0003	Directorate response and resilience to severe weather incidents	12 (Medium)	$\Leftrightarrow$	6 (Low)
GT 0004	Skills shortage and capacity issues to apply for funding and manage contracts and projects	9 (Medium)	$\Leftrightarrow$	6 (Low)
GT 0019	Delivery of in-year budget targets.	12 (Medium)	$\Leftrightarrow$	4 (Low)
GT 0020	Identification, planning and delivery of Medium Term Financial Plan targets.	16 (High)	New Risk	4 (Low)
GT 0021	Internal supply chain not offering required level of service.	16 (High)	Amended from broader risk	9 (Medium)
CLOSED	Loss of ICT systems	Risk moved	l to relevant registers	divisional

\*Each risk is allocated a unique code, which is retained even if a risk is transferred off the Directorate Register. Therefore there will be some 'gaps' between risk IDs.

NB: Current & Target risk ratings: The 'current' risk rating refers to the current level of risk taking into account any mitigating controls already in place. The 'target residual' rating represents what is deemed to be a realistic level of risk to be achieved once any additional actions have been put in place. On some occasions the aim will be to contain risk at current level.

	Likelihood & Impact Scales								
Likelihood	LikelihoodVery Unlikely (1)Unlikely (2)Possible (3)Likely (4)Very Likely (5)								
Impact	Minor (1)	Moderate (2)	Significant (3)	Serious (4)	Major (5)				

# Full Risk Register



Control

Management Team

Management Team

Regular risk assessments of all Directorate GET Directorate sites and testing for hazards Management

									Kent.gov.ok	· · · · ·
Risk Register - Growth, Env	rironment and Transport		ent Risk Level Summary ent Risk Level Changes		Amber 4		2 To 7 1	tal 6 4 <b>7</b>	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2 0 1 2 0
isk Title and Cause				Risk Ref	GT0001	Owner	Last R	eview date		0 0 1 0 0 0 7 Date
ealth and Safety considerations ervices across the directorate need to p	ay due regard to potential Health and Safety	issues due to t	he nature of the work th	ey undertake.		GET Directorate Management Te		2/2018	08/05/2018	
Risk Event	Consequence	Current Risk	Previous Co Current Risk	ntrol / Action			Control / Action	Target Date	Days Overdue	Target Risk Leve
here is a risk of death, or serious injury to the public, KCC staff or contractors, where KCC fails to take all reasonable teps to prevent such an incident.	Distress to families concerned, possible legal action against the authority and reputational damage.	Medium 10 Major (5) Unlikely (2)		Ensuring recommendatic independent Health and monitored and improved GET Health and Safety of regularly to Directorate N Health & Safety Audit on Management now compl received a good / substa improvement. Maintain sound Health at at waste sites including r accidents and near-miss Conflict resolution trainin evaluated. Library staff have taken p e-learning module Review conducted of Firr First Aiders. Gaps identi contractor has organised updating of lists for HQ b Libraries Registration an Working Policy is being r Regular reporting of acci H&S updates to Senior n	Safety review are as required proup reports fanagement Team Waste eted and we ntial level of and Safety systems eviewing es. g completed and personal safety e Wardens and fied and training and uildings. d Archive Lone eviewed dent data and	Roger Wilkin Roger Wilkin Roger Wilkin Roger Wilkin Barbara Bragg Barbara Bragg Mark Duggan GEN2 James Pearson	Control Control Control Control Control Accepted Control			10

	Staff to follow Health and Safety legislation GET Directorate Control     and guidance Management     Team	

Risk Title and Cause				Risk Ref	GT0003	Owner	Last R	eview date	Next Review	Date
	to Severe Weather incidents ffecting the county has increased in the past is services within the directorate play an importa				s, businesses	GET Directorate Management Team	08/02	2/2018	08/05/2018	
Risk Event	Consequence	Current Risk	Previous Current Risk	Control / Action			ontrol / Action	Target Date	Days Overdue	Target Risk Level

		Risk	Current Risk			Action		Overdue	Risk Level
deliver suitable planning measures, respond to and manage these events when they occur.	Excessive damage/congestion/closed roads following severe weather leading to disruption to the public of Kent including KCC staff. This in turn would impact on key services being delivered by the directorate and reputational damage for KCC if responses are judged to be inadequate.	Medium 12 Serious (4) Possible (3)		Continue to train and exercise against the Surge scenario and expand on the Recovery table-top exercise. Deliver a Recovery exercise based on "Surge + 6 months" to test partners' abilities to support the community in the return to normality in the longer term	Katie Stewart	A -Accepted	31/05/2018		Low 6
				Continue to train and exercise against the Exercise Surge scenario and test the Kent Resilience Forum Media and Communications Plan elements that were not covered by the exercise including the management of real media and the use of real media to warn and inform the community. Test the cell's capacity to work "virtually".	Katie Stewart	A -Accepted	31/03/2018		
				<ul> <li>Review, update and circulate the Kent Resilience Forum Evacuation and Shelter Plan to reflect learning from Exercise Surge</li> </ul>	Katie Stewart	A -Accepted	01/04/2018		
				<ul> <li>The Kent Resilience Forum are working on a range of e-learning products, which will include welfare centre documentation training</li> </ul>	Fiona Gaffney	A -Accepted	31/03/2018		
				Severe Weather Impacts Monitoring System now in use to support the Authority with its response to extreme events	Carolyn McKenzie	Control			
				Business Continuity Plans are kept under constant review	GET Directorate Management Team	Control			
				<ul> <li>Local Flood Risk Strategy delivered and Flood Risk Management Plan in place</li> </ul>	Tony Harwood	Control			
				Post Winter plan completed	Andrew Loosemore	Control			
				Kent Resilience Forum Severe Weather Advisory Group established to convene in the event of a severe weather incident.	Tony Harwood	Control			

	Priority salting routes agreed and Andrew Control published and plan to ensure salt bins are Loosemore provided and filled	
	Recommendations from the Winter Sarah Anderson Control     Flooding Plan are being delivered.	
	Training is available and being rolled out at Tony Harwood Control strategic, tactical and operational level	
	Carry out a lessons learnt review after Andrew Control Loosemore	
	Contractual issues have been raised with Agilysis commissioners regarding Out of Hours response in severe weather incidents.     Control     Control     Control	
	Senior Management on-call rota devised Katie Stewart Control     and now in place	
	Support gained from the local community Andrew Control who undertake snow ploughing Loosemore	
	Local Emergency Plans agreed and Andrew Control published with districts/borough councils. Loosemore	
	Exercises regularly conducted to test Tony Harwood Control different elements of emergency and business continuity arrangements with partners (e.g. Exercise Surge).	
	Cross Directorate Resilience Group Katie Stewart Control briefed on the SWIMS system	
	A Training Needs Analysis for Welfare Katie Stewart Control Centre Managers and Staff required to staff two welfare centres for a period of 24 hours and ensure that the KRF Welfare Centre training is internally promoted, has been completed.	
	Kent Resilience Team have updated Fiona Gaffney Control welfare centre training and have delivered specific documentation training to those who took part in Exercise Surge where the learning need was identified.	

Risk Title and Cause	Owner	Last Review date	Next Review Date		
Skills shortage and capacity issues to apply for funding and manage contracts and projects Funding has been received to deliver major infrastructure projects. The funding is being administered by Essex CC (on behalf of th Partnership), and detailed business cases are required to be completed to obtain the funding through Essex CC.	e South East Local	Enterprise	GET Directorate Management Team	08/02/2018	08/05/2018

Risk Event	Consequence	Current Risk	Previous Current Risk	Control / Action		Control / Action	Target Date	Days Overdue	Target Risk Level
There is a risk that KCC will be unable to satisfactorily submit suitable business cases and manage the projects due to a shortage of staff with the appropriate skill set within KCC. In addition it is possible that the Authority will be unable to attract suitably trained project	presented, however, even when the funding has been received, the major projects may not be managed appropriately leading to possible delays or difficulties with the funding arrangements.	Medium 9 Significant (3) Possible (3)		<ul> <li>GET Organisation Devt Group are developing a forward-looking workforce strategy for GET, currently out to consultation, which will identify skills needed for the next 5-10 years, from which an action plan can be drawn.</li> </ul>	Katie Stewart	A -Accepted	31/03/2018		Low 6
managers as the private sector remains competitive in this area.	This could impact on the Authority's reputation and even lead to the Authority having to return some of the funding to Central Government.			<ul> <li>Relevant KCC staff are helped to access external funding programmes including form completion and bid writing.</li> </ul>	David Smith	Control			
				<ul> <li>Local Growth Fund Project and Steering Group established</li> </ul>	Lee Burchill	Control			
				<ul> <li>Highways contractor has funded Microsoft Project training for its managers to improve their skills base.</li> </ul>	Roger Wilkin	Control			
				Workforce Planning exercise conducted with Highways, Transportation & Waste Division to identify gaps in relation to critical roles and recommendations for action and next steps	Roger Wilkin	Control			
				<ul> <li>Workforce planning now takes place across EPE division at different times in order that services plan ahead for retirements and identify experience opportunities to bring in new talent.</li> </ul>	Katie Stewart	Control			
				EPE are leading a continual transformation programme that will respond to issues raised in workforce planning	Katie Stewart	Control			
				<ul> <li>Growth, Environment &amp; Transport Change B Portfolio Board established to monitor risks and key issues</li> </ul>	Barbara Cooper	Control			

Risk Title and Cause	Risk Ref	GT0019	Owner	Last Review date	Next Review Date
Delivery of in-year budget targets. Financial challenges facing services across the directorate, which are becoming harder to mitigate year-on-year. For example a numb external funding, grants and partner contributions. Demand for some services can also fluctuate. In addition, approval of funding for or stages meaning that the directorate is working 'at risk' should these projects not ultimately proceed.			GET Directorate Management Team	08/02/2018	08/05/2018

There is a risk that budget targets are not met, including the risk of greater than planned for reductions or cessation of external funding and grants or reduced funding.       Insufficient budget to maintain service.       Medium       12       Serious (4)       Possible (3)       David Smith       Control       4         12       Serious (4)       Possible (3)       Possible (3)       Possible (3)       Control       4         4       Serious (4)       Possible (3)       Possible (3)       Possible (3)       Control       Control       4	Risk Event	Consequence	Current Risk	Previous Current Risk	Control / Action		Control / Action	Target Date	Days Overdue	Target Risk Level
Regular review of amber and red rated savings according to KCC BRAG system     Anagement Team     Control     C	not met, including the risk of greater than planned for reductions or cessation of external funding and grants or reduced funding. Risk of costs from any aborted capital projects being charged back to the directorate creating additional in-year	standards. Reputational damage. An over-spend could impact on other parts of the Authority and / or mean additional savings required in future	12 Serious (4)		<ul> <li>KCC officers (including those in GET directorate) in identifying and accessing external funding in line with strategic outcomes</li> <li>Collaborative Planning (CP) is used for financial monitoring within services. Directorate Management Team (DMT) receives monthly financial monitoring updates</li> <li>Full participation in KCC Medium Term Financial Plan and financial monitoring processes</li> <li>GET Portfolio Board established to oversee delivery of change across the directorate</li> <li>Regular monitoring of income and fees through the budget process</li> <li>Regular review of amber and red rated savings according to KCC BRAG system</li> <li>Management teams across the directorate</li> </ul>	GET Directorate Management Team GET Directorate Management Team GET Directorate Management Team GET Directorate Management Team GET Directorate Management Team	Control Control Control Control			

fluctuate.

Risk Title and Cause	Risk Ref	GT0020	Owner	Last Review date	Next Review Date
Identification, planning and delivery of Medium Term Financial Plan targets.	GET Directorate	08/02/2018	08/05/2018		
Financial challenges facing services across the directorate with a reduced ability to mitigate year-on-year. E.g. there is a significant of directorate to support. For example a number of services rely on significant external funding, grants and partner contributions. Dem	Management Team				

Risk Event	Consequence	Current Risk	Previous Current Risk	Control / Action		Control / Action	Target Date	Days Overdue	Target Risk Level
There is a risk that Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) budget targets are not met, including the risk of greater than planned for reductions or cessation of external funding and grants or reduced funding.		High 16 Serious (4) Likely (4)	12 7	<ul> <li>GET Portfolio Board established to oversee delivery of change across the directorate</li> <li>External funding team in place to support KCC officers (including those in GET directorate) in identifying and accessing external funding in line with strategic outcomes</li> <li>Savings and income proposals developed to feed into the KCC MTFP.</li> <li>Full participation in KCC Medium Term Financial Plan and financial monitoring processes</li> <li>Regular review of amber and red rated savings according to KCC BRAG system</li> </ul>	GET Directorate Management Team GET Directorate Management Team GET Directorate Management Team GET Directorate Management Team	Control Control Control Control			Low 4

Risk Title and Cause	Risk Ref	GT0021	Owner	Last Review date	Next Review Date
Internal supply chain not offering required level of service.			GET Directorate Management Team	08/02/2018	08/05/2018
The GET Directorate relies on services from such as Invicta Law, Gen2 TFM and Agilisys to maintain and provide its services to the pul	blic of Kent.				

Risk Event	Consequence	Current Risk	Previous Current Risk	Control / Action		Control / Action	Target Date	Days Overdue	Target Risk Level
There is a risk that the quality of the services that are provided to the	Reduction in customer service levels and therefore customer satisfaction with	High		Work to ensure appropriate involvement of GET representatives in shaping	Management	A -Accepted	30/09/2018		Medium
Directorate do not meet required standards, causing a reduction in the performance of the Directorate's	services provided by the directorate. Potential compliance concerns. Reputational damage.	16 Serious (4)		specification and overseeing performance e.g. attendance at Infrastructure Stakeholder Group.	Team				9
services in the eyes of the public and service users.		Likely (4)		<ul> <li>Issues with Contact Point provider being raised through the Customer Service Board</li> </ul>	GET Directorate Management Team	Control			
				Continual liaison with Infrastructure • commissioners to raise issues with Total Facilities Management (TFM)	GET Directorate Management Team	Control			