# **School Funding Formula Consultation**

# Summary of Responses - All Responses


#### **Consultation Visitors**

Total Visits	776	
Documents	Visitors	Downloads/Views
Consultation Document	309	358
School-specific Model	185	214
Equality Impact Assessment	62	71


## **Number of Responses**

Primary	67	70%
Secondary	20	21%
All-through	2	2%
Special	7	7%
Total	96	100%

#### **Responses by District**




# Responses by Role



Question 2: Do you agree or disagree with the one-off transfer of 1% (approximately £10.0m) from the Schools Block to the High Needs Block for 2022-23, to provide additional support to mainstream schools to operate inclusively?

- A) Agree
- B) Disagree
- C) Don't Know




	А	В	С
Primary	56	9	2
Secondary	10	9	1
All-through	2	0	0
Special	7	0	0
Total	75	18	3

	Α	В	С
Primary	58%	9%	2%
Secondary	10%	9%	1%
All-through	2%	0%	0%
Special	7%	0%	0%
Total	78%	19%	3%

Question 3: If there is support from schools to continue to transfer 1% of the Schools block to support inclusive practices in mainstream schools, KCC would like to understand which of the following scenarios schools prefer:

- A) Scenario 1 continue with last year's Local Funding Formula, applying increases in rates in line with the 2022-23 National Funding Formula (NFF) rate changes where appropriate.
- B) Scenario 2 introduce the mobility factor into the current Local Funding Formula and apply increases in rates in line with 2022-23 National Funding Formula (NFF) where possible.
- C) Scenario 3 I don't support transferring 1% of school funding to support inclusive practices in mainstream schools, the Local Funding Formula would almost mirror the NFF.

#### D) I don't know




	Α	В	С	D
Primary	41	15	10	1
Secondary	9	1	10	0
All-through	2	0	0	0
Special Total	5	2	0	0
Total	57	18	20	1

	Α	В	С	D
Primary	43%	16%	10%	1%
Secondary	9%	1%	10%	0%
All-through	2%	0%	0%	0%
Special	5%	2%	0%	0%
Total	59%	19%	21%	1%

## Question 4: What percentage should we set the Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) for 2022-23?


- A) 0.5% this would ensure those schools who remain on the MFG receive at least a 0.5% increase per pupil, which would be lower than the Government's estimate for inflation and would therefore mean a further erosion of their historic higher funding level.
- B) 2% this would ensure those schools who remain on the MFG receive at least a 2% increase per pupil which is the Government's estimate of inflation in 2022-23. This option would cost more than option a) above.
- C) Something different but between 0.5% and 2% please specify the percentage you think.



	Α	В	С
Primary	34	28	5
Secondary	12	7	1
All-through	1	0	1
Special Total	2	4	1
Total	49	39	8

	Α	В	С
Primary	35%	29%	5%
Secondary	13%	7%	1%
All-through	1%	0%	1%
Special	2%	4%	1%
Total	51%	41%	8%

C) Something different but between 0.5% and 2% - please specify the percentage you think.



	1.0%	1.25%	1.5%
Responses	6	1	1
	75%	13%	13%