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Foreword from Deborah Stuart-Angus, Independent Chair, Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board

It gives me great pleasure to share with you Kent and Medway’s Safeguarding Adults Board Annual Report. It details the vast range of activity that our partnership has delivered to help keep our population safe, so that our citizens can live free from harm, abuse and neglect. This has been an exceptionally busy and exacting year, where we have focused our strategic direction on strengthening safeguarding activity, in the wake of a 40% increase in safeguarding referrals.

Our Board set its safeguarding priorities to prevent harm in our communities and am proud to say that the partners of Kent and Medway have delivered. I offer my personal thanks for their continuous efforts, set within challenging times, and their proactive, mutual collaboration. Recognition for this contribution and the dedicated effort that continues to be made to keep our residents safe has to be acknowledged.

In the wake of a vast increase in safeguarding activity, Kent and Medway are probably experiencing the full impact of the changes brought about by the Care Act 2014. This has made us more determined to raise awareness; continuously improve our multi-agency safeguarding adult policy and procedures; measure our impact and quality outputs and challenge our partners to gain assurance that safeguarding arrangements are effective.

We have undertaken an increased number of Safeguarding Adult Reviews (SARs) and ensured that the SAR Multi Agency Decision Making Panel is supported by a standing Working Group, enabling the ongoing development of a rigorous quality assurance processes and implementation planning to embed lessons learned, where agencies could have worked better together.

We have also developed a high level Multi Agency Case Audit process to create further scope for delivering learning from case analysis, enabling practice improvement and have delivered and reviewed a comprehensive, competence based multi-agency training programme to support the ‘6 Safeguarding Principles’, promoting choice and control for adults who may be or are, at risk.

The work to better engage with adults at risk, carers and the public is now lead by a Citizen’s Panel task and finish group and last October, we saw the delivery of a further successful Safeguarding Adults Awareness Raising Campaign, with the strap line: “Abuse: See It, Report It, Stop It”. The campaign was positioned in shopping centres, supermarkets and hospitals, promoting scam awareness, community engagement and domestic abuse one stop shops, attracting social media and press coverage. This one event alone positively impacted on the reporting of Domestic Abuse.

We have signed off the KMSAB Constitution and members have opted to re-structure the Board for 2017-18. This will improve expeditious decision making and produce a defined focus on strategic priorities and the delivery of SAR outcomes. A Business Group will implement the future designated 2018-2021 Strategic Plan.

There has been a very successful collaborative and innovative approach to strengthening safeguarding delivery, oversight and governance for Medway’s residents, with the setting up of the Medway Safeguarding Adults Executive Group (MSAEG). The Group are able to clearly
focus on the safeguarding needs of Medway’s adult’s at risk population and have been able to create a ‘golden thread’ to connect KMSAB’s strategic vision to achieving outcomes for adults with care and support needs. MSAEG are also delivering on the outcomes from a constructive Peer Review for adult safeguarding.

We wanted to work more closely with Kent Safeguarding Children’s Board and Medway’s Safeguarding Children’s Board. Following successful negotiation, we are now represented on the Joint Risk, Threats and Vulnerabilities Working Group, pooling our efforts to reduce gang violence, prevent child sexual exploitation and taking a strong view on PREVENT and Chanel anti-terrorism duties, working closely with both the Community Safety Partnership and partner Boards across Kent and Medway.

The achievements of our partners are too numerous to mention here and I would urge you to read on, to gain a measure of the magnitude of what has been realised this year. To mention but a few, there has been the noted success of the Kent Learning Disability Advocacy Project and Speaking up Groups for People with high functioning Autism; the deployment of ‘Keeping Safe’ training for adults with learning disabilities; raising awareness and understanding of Making Safeguarding Personal in Adult Social Care; increased activity from proactive Community Wardens; Mental Capacity Act audits across KMPT; the focus on the ‘vulnerability strategy’ by Kent Police, establishing the innovative New Horizons policing model; attaining safeguarding training compliance improvement in Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust, Medway NHS Foundation Trust, SECAMB and Kent Fire and Rescue Services. There has been a wide ranging review of safeguarding training requirements for providers by the NHS Clinical Commissioning Groups; delivery on the ‘Think Family’ approach by Medway Community Healthcare and safer custody implementation across the Prison Service.

As a Board, of course we face our challenges, but we have decided that we will pre-empt what we can and endorse building on our priorities by jointly setting out a three-year Safeguarding Strategy for Kent and Medway and an associated Business Plan. We will work to ensure that our structure reflects the best delivery model to keep our residents safe; we will develop a systematic implementation plan for lessons learned to be delivered across the partnership and continuously improve and learn from the outcomes measured by our Quality Assurance Framework.

Our shared responsibility to safeguard adults at risk in Kent and Medway can only be achieved by collaboration, by working together and understanding the challenges our partners face. However, it is their success in delivering on their achievements, versus challenge, that contributes to inspire me to lead this Board with pride. As Chair, I remain humble and cognisant to the ever increasing demands made on the members of this Board and will continue to offer a potent contribution, so that we can together, continue to be strident in the face of preventing abuse and neglect, so that people live safer lives.

Thank you for taking the time to read this, I hope it inspires you to read on.

Deborah Stuart-Angus
Independent Chair of the Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board
Section 1. Introduction

What is safeguarding?

**Adult Safeguarding** is the process of ensuring that adults at risk are not abused, neglected or exploited. The Care Act 2014 defines safeguarding as:

“Safeguarding means protecting an adult’s right to live in safety, free from abuse and neglect. It is about people and organisations working together to prevent and stop both the risks and experience of abuse or neglect, while at the same time making sure that the adult’s wellbeing is promoted including, where appropriate, having regard to their views, wishes, feelings and beliefs in deciding on any action.” Care Act (2014)

The Care Act states that safeguarding duties apply to an adult who:

- has needs for care and support (whether or not the local authority is meeting any of those needs); and
- is experiencing, or at risk of, abuse or neglect; and as a result of those care and support needs is unable to protect themselves from either the risk of, or the experience of, abuse and neglect.

**Care and support** is the combination of practical, financial and emotional support for adults, who need extra help to manage their lives and be independent. Care and support can mean different things for different people, for example it can include:

- help to get out of bed, dressed or washed
- help with eating or cooking
- help seeing friends and family
- help caring for others.

**Abuse or neglect** can take many forms. The Care Act lists the following types of abuse and neglect:

- Physical abuse
- Domestic violence
- Sexual abuse
- Psychological abuse
- Financial or material abuse
- Modern slavery
- Discriminatory abuse
- Organisational abuse
- Neglect and acts of omission
- Self-neglect.

For a full definition of each category of abuse and neglect please see Appendix 2.

These are reflected Board’s Multi-Agency Safeguarding Adults Policies, Protocols and Guidance for Kent and Medway.
How do I report abuse or neglect?

If you think someone is in immediate risk or danger call 999 for the emergency service

If you think you or another person is at risk of harm, neglect or abuse, please contact:

If you live in Medway:
01634 334466
(Next Generation Text Service - 18001 01634 334466)

If you live in any other part of Kent:
03000 41 61 61
(Next Generation Text Service - 18001 03000 416161)

For further information go to:  www.medway.gov.uk/abuse
www.kent.gov.uk/adultprotection

What is the role of the Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board?

Local Authorities are required by law to have a Safeguarding Adults Board. The Board is not involved in operational practice. The purpose of the Board is to:

- help protect the people of Kent and Medway’s right to live free from harm, abuse and neglect.
- provide strategic oversight of safeguarding activity in Kent and Medway
- fulfil the statutory requirements outlined in the Care Act 2014 and related guidance.

Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board (KMSAB) achieves this by bringing together partner agencies that have a responsibility for safeguarding, such as police, local authorities and health. These agencies work collaboratively, and with local communities. The KMSAB meets four times a year and is supported by working groups, see appendix 3 for the structure chart.

The key responsibilities of the KMSAB include:

- Providing strategic direction for the adults at risk agenda
- Developing and reviewing multi-agency policy, procedures and guidance for safeguarding adults at risk
- Monitoring and reviewing the implementation and impact of policy
- Promoting and deploying multi-agency training
- Undertaking Safeguarding Adult Reviews (replacing Serious Case Reviews)
- Holding partners to account and gaining assurance of the effectiveness of safeguarding arrangements

The KMSAB supports adults at risk to have choice and control over their lives by following and endorsing the six safeguarding principles outlined in the Care and Support Guidance:

- Empowerment - individuals will be asked what they want the outcomes from the safeguarding process to be and these outcomes will directly inform what happens wherever possible
• Prevention - individuals will get help and support to report abuse and neglect and get help to take part in the safeguarding process

• Proportionality - individuals will be confident that professionals will work for their best interests and that professionals will only get involved as much as needed

• Protection - individuals will receive clear information about what abuse and neglect is, how to recognise the signs and what they can do to seek help and support

• Partnership - individuals will be confident that professionals will work together to get the best outcomes for them. They will also be confident that staff treat any personal and sensitive information in confidence, only sharing what is helpful and necessary

• Accountability - individuals will receive timely help they need from the person or agency best placed to provide it

The KMSAB used these principles to inform the Strategic Plan.
Section 2. National Context

Key documents which have influenced the safeguarding agenda include:

**The Care Act 2014**
The Care Act 2014 came into force on 1 April 2015, replacing and consolidating a number of previous laws and statutory guidance, to create a single, consistent approach to establishing entitlement to adult social care in England. It sets out duties for local authorities and partner agencies and introduces the right to an assessment for anyone, including carers, in need of support. The Act promotes a preventative approach and aims to put individuals in control of their care and support.


**Care Act Statutory Guidance 2016 Update**
The updated Care Act 2014 statutory guidance was published on 10 March 2016. The update reflects; regulatory changes, feedback from stakeholders and the care sector; and other relevant developments. Chapter 14 specifically relates to safeguarding.


**Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS)**
Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) came into force in England and Wales in April 2009, under an amendment to the Mental Capacity Act 2005. These safeguards are intended to protect individuals, who lack the capacity to consent to care or treatment, from being deprived of their liberty unless there is no other, less restrictive alternative, and a deprivation of liberty is assessed to be in their best interests to protect them from harm, or to provide treatment.

The definition of what constitutes a deprivation of liberty was amended following a Supreme Court Judgement in 2014, P v Cheshire West and Chester Council (2014), which created an ‘acid test’ for what constitutes a deprivation of liberty. The ‘acid test’ is fulfilled, and an individual is considered to be deprived of their liberty, if they:

- lack the capacity to consent to their care/treatment arrangements **and**
- are under continuous supervision and control **and**
- are not free to leave

The following are not relevant to the application of the test:

- the person’s compliance or lack of objection
- the relative normality of the placement and the reason
- the purpose for the placement having been made

Statistics by the NHS Digital (formerly Health and Social Care Information Centre) illustrate a continued increase in the number of DoLS applications received. “195,840 DoLS applications were reported as having been received by councils during 2015-16. This is the most since the
DoLS were introduced in 2009 and represents 454 DoLS applications received per 100,000 adults in England\(^1\). It is expected that the figures for 2016-17 will be published in October 2017.

In March 2017, The Law Commission issued its report following review of the DoLS legislation. The Government will determine how the recommendations will be taken forward. The main highlights are:

- DoLS will be replaced by the ‘Liberty Protection Safeguards’
- This will apply to individuals over the age of 16 years
- It will apply in any setting
- The Supervisory Body will be replaced by the ‘Responsible Body’
- Responsible Bodies will include NHS and Local Authorities
- Additional scrutiny of restrictions by an Approved Mental Capacity Professional for those Relevant Persons who are objecting

The full report is available at:

**Modern Slavery Act 2015**

Trafficked adults are at increased risk of significant harm because they are largely invisible to the professionals and volunteers who would be in a position to assist them. The adults who traffic them take trouble to ensure trafficked adults do not come to the attention of the authorities, and either have no contact or disappear from contact with statutory services soon after arrival in the United Kingdom (UK), or in a new area within the UK.

The Modern Slavery Act 2015 consolidates slavery and trafficking offences.

The Modern Slavery Act 2015 Section 52 places a duty on a range of public authorities to notify the Home Office about suspected victims of slavery or human trafficking.

**The Counter Terrorism and Security Act**

The Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015 aims to disrupt the ability to travel abroad to engage in terrorist activity and then return to the UK. It also places a duty on a range of organisations to prevent people from being drawn into terrorism. It places Channel, the Government’s programme for people vulnerable to being drawn into terrorism, on a statutory footing.

**Female Genital Mutilation (FGM) Act 2003 as amended by the Serious Crime Act 2015**

The Female Genital Mutilation Act (2003) was amended by section 73 of the Serious Crime Act 2015 to include FGM Protection Orders. A FGM Protection Order is a civil measure which can be applied for through a family court. The FGM Protection Order offers the means of protecting actual or potential victims from FGM under the civil law. Breach of an FGM Protection Order is a criminal offence carrying a sentence of up to five years in prison. As an alternative to criminal

---

http://content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB21814
prosecution, a breach could be dealt with in the family court as a contempt of court, carrying a maximum of two years’ imprisonment. (NSPCC).

**Controlling or Coercive Behaviour in an Intimate or Family Relationship**

This legislation allows the Crown Prosecution Service to prosecute specific offences of Domestic Abuse if there is evidence of repeated, or continuous, controlling or coercive behaviour. This type of abuse in an intimate or family relationship can include a pattern of threats, humiliation and intimidation, or behaviour such as stopping a partner socialising, controlling their social media accounts, surveillance through apps and dictating what they wear. The legislation states that to be defined as controlling or coercive, the behaviour must have had a ‘serious effect’ on the victim, meaning that it has caused the victim to fear violence will be used against them on ‘at least two occasions’, or it has had a ‘substantial adverse effect on the victims’ day to day activities.

**Multi-agency Statutory Guidance for the Conduct of Domestic Homicide Reviews**

When a person dies as the result of domestic violence, the law requires that professionals involved in the case review what happened so they can identify what needs to be changed and reduce the risk of it happening again in the future. In 2016 the Home Office updated the Statutory Guidance which details the requirements on how to conduct a review.

A summary of the changes can be found on the link below http://aafda.org.uk/resource/aafda-detailed-analysis-key-changes-new-home-office-domestic-homicide-review-guidance-published-december-2016/
Section 3. Local Context

This section includes key areas of work for the Board and details how we delivered against our priorities for 2016 – 2017

Engagement with Service Users and Carers

The KMSAB is continuously pursuing ways to engage with service users, carers and the public. The ambition is to provide a forum for them to influence the work of the Board and empower and enable them to contribute to safeguarding in Kent and Medway. A citizen’s panel task and finish group, made up of multiagency partners, has been established to lead this work. They are required to provide a progress update at each Board meeting.

Having considered different models, it was agreed that rather than ask representatives to attend a formal meeting, engagement would be mostly ‘virtual’. Utilising existing service user and carer groups and forums to share updates and seek views on the work of the KMSAB. The task and finish group has compiled a list of service user and carer groups already established in Kent and Medway. As expected, due to the size of the local area, they found that there are a very large number of such groups and forums already in place.

A pilot questionnaire was circulated to a sample of user and carer groups. 618 people were contacted to ascertain how best to involve them in matters relating to safeguarding adults in Kent and Medway. Even with the provision of self-addressed envelopes, only 16 responses were received. Despite some initial challenges the group remain committed to finding the most effective ways to encourage participation. This work remains a priority for the 2017-18 work programme.

Increasing Awareness

As well as being good practice, Safeguarding Adults Boards have a duty under the Care Act to prevent harm and “raise public awareness so that communities as a whole, alongside professionals, play their part in preventing, identifying and responding to abuse and neglect”2. Research has found that successful awareness raising campaigns can make a significant contribution to the identification and prevention of abuse.

Board members arranged and held a safeguarding adults awareness raising campaign from 3–7 October 2016, it was centred on the theme “Abuse: See It, Report It, Stop It”. The campaign provided general information on how to identify and report abuse, and the support and services available for those at risk or experiencing abuse. Each agency focused their activities on the themes most relevant to them. When preparing the events, agencies consulted with service users and carers, where possible. Events included:

- A conference for providers
- Information stalls at places such as; community hubs, shopping centres, markets, supermarkets and hospitals
- Scam awareness and safety presentations
- Domestic abuse one stop shops
- A staff conference for hospital staff in Medway
- Engagement day with community groups
- Awareness raising through social media and press coverage

---

2 Care and Support Statutory Guidance Issued Under the Care Act 2014
Feedback regarding the events included:
“the number of domestic abuse cases being reported and consulted about has risen dramatically”

“The public were very engaged and appreciated having someone to talk to about their issues”

“Supermarket management were very supportive of the community warden stands, taking leaflets for their staff room and inviting them back to host regular events”

“this was a well-planned out campaign and we welcome being part of it again in the future”

To support safeguarding awareness week, and awareness raising work more generally, the Policy, Protocols and Practice Working Group reviewed the flyers and leaflets produced by the Board, ensuring that they were up to date, relevant and fit for purpose. The ‘Report It’ information leaflet for the public was redesigned to provide more details on the types of abuse and to make it more eye catching. The flyer design and “Abuse: See It, Report It, Stop It” strapline was used to develop:
- a web and social media banner
- a signature banner for emails
- a contact card
- a poster
- information “pop-up” stands

These continue to be used to raise awareness of the Board.

Signature banner for emails:

![Signature banner for emails](image)

**Progress Safeguarding Adults Reviews, ensuring lessons learnt lead to practice improvements**

Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board has a duty to carry out a Safeguarding Adults Review (SAR) when an adult at risk in Kent or Medway dies as a result of abuse or neglect, whether known or suspected, and there is concern that partner agencies could have worked more effectively to protect the adult. KMSAB must also arrange a SAR if the same circumstances apply where an adult is still alive but has experienced serious neglect or abuse. KMSAB can also arrange for a SAR in other situations where it believes that there will be value in doing so. This may be where a case can provide useful insights into the way organisations are working together to prevent and reduce abuse and neglect of adults, and can include exploring examples of good practice.

The KMSAB continues to review and strengthen the SAR process. In 2016/17 the following improvements were made:
SAR decision making panel - To ensure a more robust and consistent process for determining whether a case referred for a safeguarding adults review meets the criteria, a decision making panel has been established, it is chaired by a Detective Superintendent. This multiagency panel is convened when a new referral is received. Each agency brings a summary of their involvement, these are considered to assess if the referral meets the criteria for a SAR or whether any other review or action is required.

SAR Working Group – In response to the increasing number of SAR referrals, a SAR working group was established. The group is responsible for tracking and progressing SARs in progress and related action plans. The group also review the SAR process and quality assurance mechanisms, making recommendations for improvement as appropriate.

Development of Case Audit Process – The Policy, Protocols and Practice Working Group developed a multiagency audit process which can be used to review a case that does not meet the SAR criteria, but where it is agreed that a multiagency audit would be beneficial, to scope areas of improvement and to determine if there are lessons to be learnt. This process was piloted on a case in September 2016. Multiagency partners met to discuss the case and review practice, they developed 10 recommendations for agencies to progress.

SAR Activity

2016-17 saw an increase in the number of SAR referrals. In addition to the two SARs which were in progress (Mrs D\(^3\) and Mrs H) a further five referrals were received. Of these; two were commissioned as SARs (Mrs C and one other) and one was commissioned as a Domestic Homicide Review. The other two referrals did not meet the criteria.

The executive summary, detailing the findings of the Mrs D case was published in June 2017. [http://www.kent.gov.uk/social-care-and-health/information-for-professionals/adult-protection/safeguarding-adult-reviews](http://www.kent.gov.uk/social-care-and-health/information-for-professionals/adult-protection/safeguarding-adult-reviews)

The learning from this review has been disseminated to partner agencies. An action plan is being developed and lessons learnt workshops are being arranged. These will combine learning from three safeguarding adults reviews and are expected to take place in November 2017.

Some KMSAB agencies have also been involved in two SARs which are being led by other Safeguarding Adults Boards. The findings of these reviews will be shared and lessons learnt workshops are being planned to support practice improvement.

In September 2014, the Board commissioned a Safeguarding Adults Review (SAR) in respect of Mary Smith,\(^4\) chaired by Paul Pearce. The overview report and recommendations were presented to the Board in June 2015. Agencies have now completed the action plan which addressed the recommendations.

Review of the Kent and Medway multi-agency training programme and commission training providers

The Learning and Development Working Group led on a significant project to review the course structure and content for the Board’s multi-agency training programme. The group designed a new training specification, taking into account each agency’s requirements, competency and capability frameworks and statutory requirements.

---

\(^3\) To protect the identity of the individuals initials are not the person’s real initial

\(^4\) To protect the identity of the individual this is a fictitious name
On behalf of the Board, the group also drew up the commissioning and tender strategy for the new training offer with multi-agency partners supporting the process. The tender process was a success and a contract was awarded. Details of the new training programme can be found here.

**Board Structure, Constitution and Strategic Plan**

The KMSAB Constitution was signed off by the Board at their June 2016 meeting. This constitution details how the board will operate, outlines roles, responsibilities and governance arrangements. As there was no clear agreement on the preferred structure of the Board, KMSAB members attended a development day on 2 December 2016 to consider different options and decide on a final structure.

At the meeting members agreed that the current structure was no longer sustainable, with increasing membership and too many items on the agenda. Members proposed a new model, with the addition of a business group, as shown in the structure chart below:

![Structure Chart](chart.png)

The intention is that the Business Group will manage the implementation of the strategic plan, monitoring and signing off the outcomes delivered by the working groups. This allows the Board to focus on strategic priorities and SAR outcomes.

Following the development day there has been a process of consultation and refinement. Work to develop the strategic plan for 2018-2021 has commenced. The outcome of this will determine the working group structure. It is anticipated that the new Board structure will commence 1 January 2018.

During this period of change, the Board has continued to operate as usual, collaborating and working closely with partners to ensure a variety of safeguarding contribution. As an example of this, the KMSAB is now represented on the Joint Risk, Threats and Vulnerabilities working group with Kent Safeguarding Children’s Board and Medway Safeguarding Children’s Board.

**Medway Safeguarding Adults Executive Group**

Medway Safeguarding Adults Executive Group (MSAEG) brings together senior representatives from the key agencies responsible for the effective delivery of Adult Safeguarding in Medway.
The MSAEG works collaboratively to deliver the strategic priorities of the Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board, strengthening delivery, oversight and governance. A peer review of was carried out in Medway Council in December 2016. The theme for the review was; “Is there evidence to demonstrate a robust and effective golden thread, originating from the strategic vision of the Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board, across partner agencies, through to the achievement of individual outcomes for adults with care and support needs in Medway?” The report was positive but suggested some areas for development. An action plan has been developed in response to these and a follow up visit from ADASS will take place later in the year.

Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards

The national context is reflected in both Kent and Medway. Given the high number of referrals, both local authorities have robust triage processes in place, as recommended by ADASS, to prioritise applications. The current DoLS process puts significant pressure on the health and social care system. Since the Supreme Court Judgement in 2014, there continues to be a significant increase in the number of applications locally. There is a proactive approach in mitigating risk to applications that are deemed as Non Priority and re-prioritisation takes place where appropriate.

Prevent and Channel

The Kent Multi-Agency Prevent Duty Delivery Board (PDDB) has continued to oversee the delivery of the Prevent Duty across Kent and Medway. The Board receives feedback from Channel, shares information regarding Prevent awareness raising and training activity within individual agencies and drives the Kent-wide action plan. The PDDB also connects to the KMSAB, Kent Safeguarding Children’s Board, Kent Community Safety Partnership and Health and Wellbeing Board.

Channel is a voluntary early intervention mechanism used before a person engages or becomes involved in criminal terrorist activity. It is focused on safeguarding individuals. All agencies and members of the community can refer individuals to Channel by emailing the Kent Police Channel inbox (prevent.referrals@kent.pnn.police.uk). A county wide Kent Channel Panel meets monthly to consider the cases of those who have been identified at risk of being drawn into terrorism and if necessary plans tailored support for them.

Kent County Council also has its own internal Prevent group that ensures the Prevent duty is mainstreamed throughout the organisation.

Medway has a Channel Panel separate to Kent’s. This Panel meets as required and referrals are made using the Kent-wide referral form. Medway Council also has its own internal Prevent Board as well as a multi-agency Prevent Board to meet the guidance laid down in the Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015.
Sub-group Activity

The Practice, Policy and Procedures Working Group (PPPWG)

Key achievements in 2016-2017:

- The PPPWG reviewed and updated the following documents:
  - KMSAB Multi-agency Safeguarding Adults Policy, Protocols and Guidance Document. The updated document can be found here.
  - Additional Guidance for Health and Care Service Providers In Kent and Medway, When Adult(s) with Care and Support Needs or Care or Support Needs alone Abuse Each Other. The updated document can be found here.
  - Procedure for Safeguarding Adult Reviews. The updated procedure can be found here.
  - Kent and Medway Multiagency - Resolving Practitioner Differences; Escalation Policy for Adult. The updated document is available online.

- Multi-Agency Case Audit Process
  The PPPWG developed a multiagency Case Audit process.

- Review of KMSAB literature.
  The PPPWG reviewed the flyers and leaflets produced by the Board, ensuring that they were up to date, relevant and fit for purpose.

The Quality Assurance Working Group (QAWG)

Key achievements in 2016-2017:

- Self Assessment Framework
  The KMSAB requires agencies to complete a self-assessment framework, developed by the QAWG, to measure their progress against key quality standards. The returns are then peer reviewed by another agency and findings are presented to the Board. Any actions rated red or amber require regular update reports to the QAWG and Board to ensure the required standards are achieved.

- Annual Plan 2017-18
  The QAWG developed, and will monitor, the Board’s annual plan for 2017-18. The plan details how the Board will deliver the priorities set out in the Strategic plan.

- Development of Strategic Plan
  The QAWG is leading on work to revise and update the strategic plan for 2018-2021. As part of this work the group are revising the quality assurance framework.

- Safeguarding Adults Reviews.
  Until the SAR working group was established the quality assurance working group was responsible for monitoring progress against Safeguarding Adults Reviews. The group ensure that action plans address the recommendations made in the review and that these are subsequently progressed. During 2016/17 the action plan in relation to Mary Smith was completed.
The Learning and Development Working Group (LDWG)

Key achievements in 2016-2017:

- **Delivery of Multi-agency Training Programme**
  The Learning and Development Working Group maintains oversight of the delivery of multi-agency safeguarding training, monitoring demand and uptake of training. More details are provided in the next section of the plan.

- **Evaluation of Training and Recommissioning Strategy**
  As detailed [here](#) the LDWG undertook a comprehensive review of the course structure and content for the multi-agency training offer and commissioned a new provider.

- **KMSAB Competence Framework**
  An update of the KMSAB Competence Framework document, 2014, was also undertaken. Since its introduction, the Framework has been a positive step towards establishing more efficient and consistent safeguarding practice across Kent and Medway, providing employees and employers with a benchmark for the minimum standard of competence required of those who work to safeguard adults across a range of sectors.

  Each statutory partner agency is responsible for ensuring their staff are trained at the appropriate level for their role, and, since the Care Act 2014, which put safeguarding adults on a firm statutory footing, key agencies have also developed their own Competency / Capability Frameworks to ensure that their staff meet the expectations of the Care Act and the supporting Statutory Guidance and the requirements of their own organisation / professional bodies.
Section 4. Kent and Medway Multi-Agency Training

The Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board has continued to commission multi-agency safeguarding adults training specifically for staff from the statutory sector, covering the roles and responsibilities of statutory partners in relation to Safeguarding Adults Section 42 Enquiries.

The existing course materials had been reviewed and aligned to fit with the new Safeguarding Adults Capability Framework which was introduced in April 2016, at the same time as meeting the multi-agency partners’ required competencies.

The Kent and Medway multi-agency training is structured to ensure that staff can build on their existing knowledge and skills by adopting a sequential learning approach, and is designed to reflect core and complimentary knowledge and skills, within the multi-agency context of safeguarding work. This year’s offer included:

• Level A / Level 1 and Level 2 – Adult Safeguarding Awareness and Application of Law and Policy
• Level B – Guide to Undertaking Safeguarding Enquiries
• Level C – Decision Making and Accountability in Safeguarding
• Level D – Post Abuse Responsibilities

All agencies take responsibility for the delivery of Levels 1 and 2 training to their staff, using the training standards tool to record the quality of the content and delivery methods and evaluation of the training in line with the KMSAB Competence Framework. Kent County Council has continued to offer Level A (Levels 1 and 2) training for staff in the private, voluntary and independent sectors.

Levels B, C and D of the multi-agency training programme are provided by external training consultants, funded by the KMSAB.

The table below outlines the level of multi-agency course provision and attendance during April 2016-March 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Total No of Persons Attending</th>
<th>KCC</th>
<th>Medway Council</th>
<th>KMPT (incl staff seconded from KCC)</th>
<th>Health - other</th>
<th>Other Agencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level B (18 courses)</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level C (6 courses)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level D (1 course)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total trained</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the training detailed above, agencies may supplement this with their own training programmes.
Section 5. Funding Arrangements

The Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board is funded by five partner agencies including Kent County Council, Medway Council, Kent Police, Kent Fire & Rescue Service, Clinical Commissioning Groups and commissioned Health provider organisations. Each of these agencies made the following percentage contributions in 2016-17:

- KCC, Social Care Health and Wellbeing – 40.4%
- Medway Council – 8.2%
- Kent Police – 14%
- NHS Kent and Medway – 35.8%
- Kent Fire & Rescue Service – 1.7%

The multi-agency budget covers the salaries for the Independent Chair, Safeguarding Adults Board Co-ordinator and Administration Officer posts. It also covers the administration costs for the various multi-agency group meetings, Safeguarding Adult Reviews and the provision of multi-agency training.

The table below sets out the budget contributions for the past three years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014-2015 Agreed contribution (£000’s)</th>
<th>2015-2016 Agreed contribution (£000’s)</th>
<th>2016-2017 Agreed contribution (£000’s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KCC</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>80.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medway Council</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Health Commissioners and Providers</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>71.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>25.3*</td>
<td>28.1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent Fire &amp; Rescue Service</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortfall</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>168.1</td>
<td>182.3</td>
<td>210.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*21 received

A decision was made by the Board to use reserves in order to reduce the contributions of partners, given the savings agencies needed to make in the financial year.
Section 6. Partner Highlights

Advocacy for All

Overview of 2016-2017

- All staff undertake safeguarding e learning as part of induction
- Safeguarding regularly discussed during team meetings, supervision and appraisals
- Service-user led Safeguarding training for people with a learning disability and staff from service providers
- Support self advocacy group members and others with a learning disability and/or Autism with 1:1 advocacy support via our Kent Learning Disability Advocacy Project and Speaking up Groups for People with high functioning Autism.
- Current IMCA and Care Act provider – safeguarding support for those who lack capacity or who have difficulty understanding information.

Key Achievements

- Provision of 'Keeping Safe' training to adults with learning disabilities by our 'A Team', a group of people with learning disabilities who are trained as trainers for other disabled young people and adults to ensure they are aware of, and can recognise abuse.
- Provision of advocacy through IMCA and Care Act advocacy to ensure the voice of the client is heard at safeguarding meetings.
- Safeguarding training provided to all staff during our organisation training day.

Key Challenges

- Ensuring an advocate is involved at the start of all relevant safeguarding processes.
- Access to advocacy for people who live in Kent but funded by another local authority when they are not covered by a statutory service
- Being able to enable support for vulnerable people at risk, where their situation is not seen as safeguarding.

Future Plans 2017-2018

- To provide further opportunities for ‘Keeping Safe’ to people with a learning disability, so they can recognise abuse and how to report it.
- To update and renew our Safeguarding training for all our staff
- To work with partners to ensure advocacy is available to all those who have a statutory or non statutory right to advocacy during a safeguarding process
Dartford and Gravesham NHS Trust

Overview of 2016–2017

During 2016-2017 the Trust has seen some challenging times. There has been an increasing number of people attending the Emergency Department who require hospital admission. Additional support has been given to the Emergency Department by the Safeguarding Lead in order to raise awareness regarding safeguarding adults, especially during difficult periods. There has been increased presence by the Safeguarding Lead throughout the Trust, with the aim to promote and enhance awareness regarding the safeguarding process and mental capacity. As a result during the past year there have been 104 safeguarding referrals made, which include 15 raised against the Trust, which have been investigated as part of the safeguarding process. The previous year the Trust made 37 referrals.

The Safeguarding Lead continues to support staff throughout the Trust in all matters relating to safeguarding. The Trust has reviewed the levels of training that it provides in relation to safeguarding adults, MCA and DoLS. The Safeguarding Adults Lead continues to produce a quarterly Safeguarding Adults newsletter which is made available Trust wide via the Trust Intranet. It highlights current safeguarding points, training dates and changes in services (i.e. IMCA services) and lessons learnt. The Safeguarding Lead continues to report to the Clinical Commissioning Group, Trusts Quality and Safety Committee and attend the various sub-groups as required by the Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board.

Key Achievements

• The Trust has played an active role in the Frequent Attenders Steering Group. This has involved looking at its top 20 frequent attenders of 2016 so as to reduce attendance in the Emergency Department in a positive way. This involves care planning with other agencies involved in their care including primary health services and the Local Authority.
• Successful collaborative working with the Emergency Department to increase their awareness of safeguarding and MCA. A diary is used by the department and SECAmb to raise additional concerns. This is reviewed on a daily basis.
• Safeguarding Adults training has been reviewed and now includes training to a higher level.

Key Challenges

• Investigation of historical safeguarding alerts with the Local Authority, some of which dated back to 2014.
• The balance between the increase numbers of people attending the Emergency Department whose medical needs take priority and completion of paperwork i.e.: KASAF and DOLS. The department remains very busy; staff require additional support during these times to complete safeguarding paperwork.
• The increase in patients requiring prolonged hospital admission due to changes in their needs/MCA following admission to hospital. This results in delayed discharges and possible safeguarding concerns.

Future Plans 2017-2018

The Trust will continue to promote the importance of safeguarding adults via education, training and newsletter updates. The importance of regularly assessing MCA will continue to be highlighted, so that all clinical staff feel confident. The Trust will continue to work collaboratively with external organisations in order to improve the patients experience in relation to Safeguarding MCA and DoLS.
East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust

Overview of 2016 – 2017

The Trust and The People at Risk Team (PART) have experienced significant change with in the last year. EKHUFT is no longer in CQC Special Measures. Despite significant financial pressures, PART have been supported by the Trust in replacing staff members as they have moved on. Two new members are in post and a third for Learning Disability awaits recruitment. Between October and January the team operated with reduced capacity, impacting on its ability to support services.

Key Achievements

- Successful campaign in raising Domestic Abuse awareness amongst staff and the public.
- Continued greater levels of involvement with medical teams, to support complex discharges for patients who lack mental capacity.
- Ability to report training compliance restored and improved compliance

Key Challenges

- Achieving 85% compliance with Level 2 training equivalent of training 3,500 staff.
- There is an on-going issue with vulnerable patients being admitted to the Trust with immediate, but short term, acute health problems who then remain on the wards for months after their acute illness is resolved. Many of these patients lack mental capacity, exhibit challenging behaviour and are difficult to manage.
- Changing practice in record keeping to evidence adherence to the Mental Capacity Act.

Future Plans 2017-2018

- Improve level 2 training compliance in Midwifery and Women’s health to meet a target of 85%.
- Highlight the importance of robust communication about patient care at point of discharge with staff
- Continue to embed identification of high risk patients with in the acute setting and thus improve discharge planning.
- Develop the Trust’s responses to cases of Domestic Abuse, Modern slavery and Trafficking.
Kent Advocacy – Information provided by seAp

Overview of 2016-2017

Kent Advocacy was launched on 1 April 2016. It is a partnership of 9 providers - ADSS, Advocacy for All, Assert, CiLK, CROP, RAD, Rethink, seAp and Support for Sight - led by seAp.

Previously there had been around 17 providers delivering advocacy so the idea behind Kent Advocacy was to streamline provision and make referral pathways easier. This is achieved by having one central referral point for professionals and self-referrers. seAp, as the lead partner, allocates the case to the most appropriate provider depending on the needs, type of advocacy and location of the client.

During this year our referrals include the following which are particularly relevant to the KMSAB:

- Safeguarding under the Care Act: 231
- Safeguarding under the MCA: 48
- DoLS 39a: 287
- relevant person's representative: 420

Key Achievements

- A wide range of professionals and individuals know how to refer to Kent Advocacy
- The partnership has started to continue the co-production work which was so important in the production of the service specification
- Clients are receiving good quality advocacy from a range of specialist organisations

Key Challenges

- Working on a spot purchase contract where we can only charge for client work recorded on our database
- seAp had a new database on 1 April 2016, for staff and partners
- Ensuring professionals and individuals know about and how to access Kent Advocacy

Future Plans 2017-2018

- To undertake significantly more awareness raising across the county to potential clients and professionals
- To continue co-production work with clients
- Develop working relationships with less formal partners, enabling them to become involved in the continued development and promotion of the service.
Kent Community Health NHS Foundation Trust (KCHFT)

Overview of 2016-2017

During 2016/17, a total of 250 adult protection referrals were received by the Trust’s safeguarding (SG) service, 201 were raised by KCHFT implicating others, compared to 225 raised within the same time period for 2015/16. 49 were raised implicating KCHFT (of which 33 were raised by KCHFT staff against KCHFT and 16 by other organisations against KCHFT), compared to 61 raised within the same time period for 2015/16. The highest area of abuse raised is Neglect. The Trust had 8 cases in which abuse has been substantiated, or partially substantiated, by KCC.

The Trust’s Safeguarding Service provides a daily duty rota for provision of safeguarding advice to staff who may have a safeguarding concern. Audit actions and audits for 2016/17 have been completed and have provided assurance and evidence of good practice and identified areas for further development.

Key Achievements

- Although neglect remains the largest area of abuse within the Trust, there were 20 cases less reported compared to 2015/16.
- The Trust’s SG service developed closer working relationships with its Community Hospitals and operational services, to raise awareness of practice that could constitute potential abuse and encourage staff to identify and raise safeguarding concerns resulting in less adult protection referrals being raised during 2016/17 compared to 2015/16
- The Trust’s SG service provided staff with support and SG supervision (reflective and restorative) following any referrals received implicating the Trust

Key Challenges

- To reduce the Trust’s number of cases of avoidable harm affecting patients across the Trust
- To ensure services work collaboratively with internal and external partners to reduce patient harms
- To encourage services to “Think Family” and consider the family as a whole when delivering care to individual clients

Future plans 2017-2018

- SG service to continue to work with internal and external partners to strengthen collaborative and co-ordinated working that will further reduce avoidable patient harms
- Continue to develop existing electronic systems, to collate, enhance and evidence reporting of safeguarding activity and performance data
- Continue to support services within the Trust, including supporting KCHFT services based outside of, or extended beyond the geographical boundaries of Kent.
Overview of 2016 – 2017

Adult safeguarding is managed at operational levels in the divisions of Older People and Physical Disability (OPPD), and Disabled Children and Adults Learning Disability and Mental Health (DCALD/MH), including the Kent and Medway Mental Health and Social Care Partnership Trust (KMPT). These divisions are supported by Adult Safeguarding Co-ordinators. The Adult Safeguarding Unit maintains a strategic role, focussing on quality assurance through arranging practice audits, reporting on performance and developing relevant policy and guidance in partnership with other agencies. The Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DOLS) function sits within this Unit.

Key Achievements

- **Older Persons and Physical Disabilities**
  In October 2016 the operational management of the adults safeguarding response in the Central Referral Unit (CRU) was transferred to the Older Persons and Physical Disabilities Division. The transition was managed with no interruption to service for either the public or partner agencies. This cross division service responds to approximately half of the adult safeguarding activity in the county and work is underway to ensure that resources can be matched to needs. Additional resources will support improvements in collaborative working with partner agencies and respond to the work demands arising from changes in partner agency.

- **Learning Disabled Services**
  During the last year our 5 Safeguarding coordinators within Learning Disabled Services have been working very closely with many of our external providers to raise their awareness of Safeguarding and Making Safeguarding Personal. This has resulted in many of the providers now having an initial consultation with us, enabling our LD teams to signpost the concern more effectively via Safeguarding, Quality and Care and/or complex casework. With an improved understanding of Making Safeguarding Personal, we are now seeing that clients remain central in a greater number of safeguarding discussions. We have developed good communication links, resulting in improved joint working and ultimately better outcomes for our clients.

- **Mental Health**
  The Mental Health Adult Safeguarding Team have been undertaking the Local Authority Designated Senior Officer role since April 2016 for Secondary Care Mental Health and the Mental Health Primary Care Social Work Service. There has been a significant increase in safeguarding enquiries. The team are working closely with partners at local community levels in responding to these concerns, improving practice through targeted workshops and continuing to work alongside practitioners within MH and in partnership with KMPT (secondary care). A scoping exercise in September 2016 identified domestic abuse in over a 1/3 of the safeguarding cases. This has resulted in the Directorate commissioning specific training for Mental Health staff on the impact of Domestic Abuse, Substance Misuse and Mental Health, one Safeguarding Coordinator attending Train the Trainer provided by Ripfa on Coercion and Control and closer working with Commissioning. A refresh of the scoping exercise will be completed in May 2017.

- **Public Protection**
  A joint Kent and Medway Domestic Homicide Review (DHR) Steering Group (established in 2011) ensures that the requirements of the DHR legislation and the Home Office guidance are followed. The Kent & Medway Adult Safeguarding Board receives feedback from the DHR Steering Group on the reviews, and shares information around the learning and recommendations resulting from completed cases. The Home Office published revised Domestic Homicide Review (DHR) Guidance in December 2016. An
estimated 15,000 visits to vulnerable people were undertaken by the Kent Community Warden Service in 2016/17. Stop the Scammers is a KCC Public Protection project involving Trading Standards and the Kent Community Warden Service. In 2016/17: over 500 scam victims were visited by Community Wardens and given sustainable support; 110 scam friends and scam champions were trained; and 25 call blocker units were installed for vulnerable residents, resulting in 99% of nuisance calls blocked.

- **Prevent** In order to raise awareness of Prevent, mandatory e-learning training was undertaken by all KCC staff. To ensure that everyone is aware of how to make a referral to Channel, relevant information was added to the multi-agency Kent and Medway Adult Safeguarding Policy, Protocols and Guidance document and to our Kent.gov website. We continue to work closely with the Police, Central Government and other multi-agency partners to ensure robust processes and measures are in place and communicated to all colleagues.

**Key Challenges**

- The volume of DOLS applications continues to be a significant challenge.
- Safeguarding concerns continue to rise. In the specific areas of domestic abuse and self-neglect, it is identified that staff training and policies must be reviewed and updated in order to ensure clear guidance is provided.

KCC Adult Social Care and Health Directorate is currently undergoing a ‘design’ process, which will result in recommendations later in 2017

**Future Plans 2017-2018**

To continue to work with staff, providers and multi-agency partners to ensure that lessons learned from Safeguarding Adults Reviews (SARs) and Domestic Homicide Reviews (DHRs) are shared to inform practice.

To continue to support the Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board in future developments.

To work closely with colleagues in Commissioning and providers to ensure that the Quality in Care agenda is embedded in order to implement preventative strategies in adult safeguarding work.
Kent Fire and Rescue Services

Overview of 2016-2017

Working with partners, specific safeguarding audits have been used to develop the direction of travel for our safeguarding work. We have updated our policy, training and how we manage safeguarding concerns. This will ensure we are better able to safeguard children and vulnerable adults.

Key Achievements

- We have published a renewed policy on safeguarding and how we deal with allegations, which have been approved by the Kent and Medway Fire and Rescue Authority. This includes clearer responsibility for safeguarding at a strategic level within the Corporate Management Board.
- We have developed a new safeguarding module within our Customer Relations Management database to ensure that our safeguarding actions are managed and recorded effectively.
- We have trained an additional sixteen operational managers as On-call Safeguarding Officers to DSO level, ensuring we have resilience when dealing with out of hours safeguarding issues.

Key Challenges

- Identification and delivery of training is a key challenge for KFRS, ensuring that we have the correct level of training to meet the needs of all roles.
- Embedding safeguarding within the Service to ensure that all staff are aware of their responsibilities.
- Keeping all our staff up to date with relevant legislation and also changes in new types of safeguarding issues.

Future plans 2017-2018

- To work with the local safeguarding boards to identify best practice and translate that into training and awareness for staff.
- To have a full training package available for all staff and volunteers applicable to their specific role, this will include the Corporate Management Board and Members of the Fire and Rescue Authority. This training will also include CPD events to ensure continual learning.
- We will improve the quality assurance for all our safeguarding work through peer review before a safeguarding case is closed. Monthly audits will be completed and reported to the strategic lead on a quarterly basis.
Kent and Medway NHS and Social Care Partnership Trust (KMPT)

Overview of 2016-2017

The year has seen KMPT work hard to embed best practice around the application of the Mental Capacity Act and Deprivation of liberty Safeguards across the organisation. Alongside mandatory training several bespoke sessions have been delivered to practitioners on these key statutory areas. Improvement has been seen in practice and noted by the Care Quality Commission during their inspection of the organisation in January 2017.

Audits have continued within KMPT to gain further assurance around the application of the Mental Capacity Act and adherence to policies and protocols in place. Audits were also completed by the Trust internal auditors to ensure systems and processes around the Mental Capacity Act are being followed. There is an action plan in place to address some minor gaps in process.

There has been continuous review of referrals for safeguarding to ensure quality and timeliness as well as the adherence to the ‘Making Safeguarding Personal’ principles. This is an ongoing piece of work by the safeguarding team. KMPT has participated in both Domestic Homicide Reviews and Serious Adult Reviews with partner agencies.

Training has been reviewed to ensure it meets the training requirements laid down in the Adult Intercollegiate Document alongside updating of all training packages both face to face and e-learning.

Key Achievements

- An overall assessment by the CQC of ‘Good’ across KMPT and ‘Outstanding for caring services.
- Good partnership working with the Safeguarding Co-ordinators across mental health and adult services.
- Very successful Safeguarding Adult week campaign across KMPT featuring Domestic Abuse, Scams & Fraud and Radicalisation.

Key Challenges

- The historical safeguarding adult cases that were previously the delegated responsibility of KMPT remain a challenge to close. Progress is slow but steady.
- The numbers of breached Deprivation of Liberty applications have started to show an increase which is an ongoing concern.
- Consistency is needed in the ‘Making Safeguarding Personal’ elements of raising a concern and subsequent follow through once the process is over to ascertain whether the victim felt their outcomes were met.

Future plans 2017-2018

- Continue to aim for total closure of all historical cases formerly held by KMPT.
- Focussed work on Making Safeguarding Personal and encouragement and assistance to victims to complete the feedback in to the care and delivery of the safeguarding process they underwent.
- Review how KMPT can become more involved in the Prevent Channel Panels across Kent and Medway.
Kent Police

Overview of 2016-2017

In line with the Government and College of Policing recommendations Kent Police have set their Force Control Strategy to focus on vulnerability. This strategy also delivers against the strategic policing requirement for policing. The Safeguarding of vulnerable adults or adults at risk is also addressed in these objectives.

Kent Police has undertaken specific activities in the past year to improve safeguarding as set out below:

The Kent Police Control Strategy has been significantly updated and includes key areas of public protection including adult abuse, child abuse and exploitation, domestic abuse, serious violence and sexual violence, human trafficking and gangs. The control strategy is the mechanism by which Kent Police prioritise its activities and coordinate its resources. This emphasis on vulnerability is a move away from the traditional target based policing priorities and a focus on protecting those most vulnerable in our community, preoccupied for many years with acquisitive crime, and violence (particularly in relation to night time economy).

A comprehensive review of policing across Kent has been completed and agreement has been made that a new force wide Vulnerability framework will be introduced. The Chief Constable has conducted roadshows across the county to engage and consult with staff on how these changes will be delivered. The Vulnerability Policing model has appropriately been called New Horizons and the changes within Kent Police will be completed in phases; phase 1 will see changes in the Central Referral Unit (CRU) planned for Spring 2017, phase 2 will be the changes within Districts and Investigation teams taking place in the Autumn of 2017.

As part of the change programme the New Horizons team held 60+ focus groups, workshops and events, engaging with over 1000 police officers & police staff. They held engagement events with vulnerable communities attended by over 200 people as well as engaging across Kent and Medway with partners at strategic and practitioner level.

Kent Police remain committed to engaging with multi agency partners. We have representation across all the Strategic as well as operational Boards. As well as being proactive in supporting last year's adult awareness week, we have hosted two multiagency exploitation and vulnerability conferences and a conference on FGM/FM/HBA within the last year to raise awareness on these subjects. We have also put on development days for officers and staff regarding interviewing vulnerable suspects and Domestic Abuse. We have created a vulnerability events planner for this year and are actively engaging with partner agencies to ensure learning and best practise is shared across agencies.

Domestic abuse (DA) has been a significant focus for Kent Police this year, recognising the long term impact on victims and children if we do not work effectively and quickly in partnership to provide appropriate support and safety. The recent HMIC PEEL inspection found the force response to DA to be very effective across all elements under Op Unity. The number of calls in the Force Control Room for domestic abuse that were pending attendance had reduced significantly and there were clear processes for assessing risk and managing DA incidents. Vulnerable and repeat incident flags had been re-introduced allowing Kent Police to understand the volumes of callers relevant to this assessment.

HMIC noted the increases that had been made in the DA arrest rate but were also impressed that officers and staff fully understood the reasons for making these arrests. The result is that the rate at which Kent Police charge people with offences relating to domestic abuse has also
increased. It was noted that consistency across Kent Police in responding to domestic abuse is achieved through DA Leads and Advisors.

The HMIC found the Force’s processes for dealing with vulnerable adults was good, and Kent Police were considered to be in a good position regarding its use of Domestic Violence Protection Notices (DVPN) and Domestic Abuse Notifications Scheme (DANS)

Key Achievements

- Vulnerability being recognised as central to the control strategy of Kent Police.
- The creation of the Vulnerable Adult Intervention Officer (PCSO) role and the Missing Adult Liaison Officer.
- The improved response in relation to missing episodes for adults at risk, specifically in relation to Dementia and the use of the ‘At risk of going missing’ process.
- An increase of police staff investigators across all areas of vulnerability.

Key Challenges

- Maintaining and improving safeguarding services for victims of crime during the force restructure.
- Developing a multi-agency approach to persistent & repeat business from adults at risk of harm (incorporating lessons learnt from recent SAR’s)
- Ensuring that all Police Officers and Frontline Police staff receive Protecting Vulnerable People training as well as Vulnerability conversion courses where required.

Future plans 2017-2018

- Improving awareness around MARAC for the partner agencies within Adult safeguarding.
- Improve services to Adults at risk of fraud – Operation Signature.
- Delivering training for police staff investigators within vulnerability teams to provide a better service to victims and support to partner agencies.
- Introduction of Forensic Investigatively Trained officers to achieve best evidence from adults with learning disabilities/difficulties and autism
Adult Abuse Data Financial Year 2016/17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Recorded Crimes</th>
<th>Total Secondary Incidents</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>1199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medway</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force Total</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>1480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Crimes</th>
<th>Secondary Incidents</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>1228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>1734</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crime Type Breakdown

Notifiable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Violence Against the Person</th>
<th>Sexual</th>
<th>Theft</th>
<th>Robbery</th>
<th>Other Crime</th>
<th>Total Notifiable Offences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medway</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Notifiable Crimes</th>
<th>Secondary Incidents</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definitions:

**Notifiable** – A Notifiable Offence is any offence under United Kingdom law where the police must inform the Home Office.

**Secondary Incidents** – This term is used when recording non crime incidents – for example a verbal altercation or an adult protection concern that would not constitute a crime, for example: an elderly person found wandering the street would lead to a referral being made.
Kent Prison Service

Overview of 2016-2017

The Kent Prison Service has had a challenging year, our inability to recruit staff in sufficient numbers to offer full regimes within our establishments, has led to most of the prisons running restricted regimes. During the year, the main establishments received additional funding, to improve safety, this resulted in increased stability, and offered more predictability within the core day. Towards the end of the reporting year, establishments reported, a more stable, environment, for prisoners and staff.

Key Achievements

- Increased Stability
- Safer, Decent and Secure Prisons
- Recruitment of new staff, coming through

Key Challenges

- Keeping Prisons Safe
- Staff Shortfalls
- Predictable Regimes

Future Plans 2017-2018

The Kent Prison Service will be undergo significantly changes in its structure. HMP Rochester will be re-developed and as a result will close at the end of 2017, it will re-open as a Category C male establishment. The new prison is expected to re-open by May 2020. Although remaining in Kent HMP Swaleside will become part of the Long Term Prisoner Estate, and HMP Maidstone will become part of the Foreign National Estate. The Group Director of Custody for Kent & Essex will be responsible for, Elmley, Standford Hill, Chelmsford and Rochester.
Kent, Surrey and Sussex Community Rehabilitation Company (KSS CRC)

Overview of 2016-2017

The main aim of KSS CRC is to reduce reoffending and thereby protect the public. Recognising that safeguarding of children and adults is an important aspect to public protection KSS CRC has revised its policies so that it now brings together all the key documents that fall within the safeguarding of children and adults under one set of overarching principles. In addition, to support clarity and best practice we have added, extremism, modern slavery, sex working, gangs, child sexual exploitation and trafficking (CSE) and female genital mutilation (FGM) as key strands to the policy.

Key Achievements

- Our plans for a new IT platform were successfully implemented. Our moves to new premises, where the layout and physical environment provides for and reflects our collaborative approach to rehabilitation, has been welcomed by staff, service users and our partners.
- KSS CRC implemented a Quality Assurance Audit and Performance Strategy which outlines the purpose, principles, strategies and key deliverables for quality assurance.
- During November 2016 the CRC completed a safeguarding week to increase staff knowledge of safeguarding issues and impact positively on behaviours and attitudes. This included articles in staff and partnership magazines, daily safeguarding ‘top tips’ posted in the intranet, posters around offices and a subsequent on line staff quiz. The safeguarding section of the intranet has been fully revised to store all safeguarding documents and other relevant reports in a manner that facilitates staff access.

Key Challenges

- Embedding new IT system.
- Embedding the Quality Assurance Audit and Performance Strategy whilst maintaining front line delivery and performance against contract.
- Consolidation of Estates Strategy and maintaining service delivery during office moves.

Future Plans 2017-2018

- KSS CRC plan to replace the national case management system we currently use – NDelius – with a new case management system (MySIS).
- We are currently re-aligning the assessment and rehabilitation functions into one function. This is to ensure a better continuity for the service user and the responsible officer as the responsible officer will be involved in both the assessment and case management.
- Launch of Women’s Strategy and KSS CRC is currently in the process of completing a new Risk of Harm Strategy.
Maidstone and Tunbridge Wells NHS Trust

Overview of 2016-2017

The Executive Lead for Safeguarding Adults is the Chief Nurse, this agenda is supported by the Deputy Chief Nurse and Matron for Safeguarding Adults. The Trust has a mature multi-agency Safeguarding Adults Committee, chaired by the Deputy Chief Nurse, with Local Authority and Clinical Commissioning Group representation.

The Trusts Safeguarding Adults at Risk of Harm Policy has again been reviewed this year. The Domestic Abuse policy for patients and staff will be jointly reviewed by the Safeguarding Adult’s and Children’s leads in the forthcoming year.

Level 1 and 2 Safeguarding Adults training compliance is now above the Trusts target of 85% compliance overall. The Trust eagerly awaits the final publication of the NHS England Intercollegiate Document in order to finalise our Training Needs Analysis. All safeguarding Adults training delivery has either been reviewed or is under review so as to include PREVENT basic awareness. A programme of PREVENT Wrap training has been developed for the year with the expectation that 1000+ staff will receive this training.

There have been 58 hospital alerts raised about hospital practice or by hospital staff, of which: 14 have been upheld or partially upheld, 20 discounted, 3 insufficient evidence, 4 closed at CRU and 15 awaiting an investigation report. The remaining two were relatives or visitors who were alleged responsible. Trust staff continue to follow the new Care Act definitions and raise safeguarding alerts appropriately.

Key Achievements

- Development of PREVENT training programme, delivering in excess of 29 WRAP training sessions this year.
- Trust staff showing an understanding of the difference between the definitions of a ‘vulnerable adult’ and an ‘adult at risk of harm’ and completing KASAF’s in accordance with this change in threshold.
- Continued ‘buy in’ from all Trust staff to adhere to the Care Act and to continue to raise safeguarding concerns about patients, visitors and staff.

Key Challenges

- Inconsistent application of the ‘adult at risk of harm’ definition from external partners.
- Competing demands on resources leaving us unable to employ a Learning Disability Hospital Liaison Nurse.
- DoLS applications that the Supervisory Body have not been able to apply the safeguards to, due to volume of referrals.

Future Plans 2017-2018

- To employ the services of a Hospital Learning Disability Liaison Nurse.
- To work with the Medical Director and Clinical Directors to ensure that the Mental Capacity Act is embedded in all medical practitioners practice.
- To develop Level 3 Safeguarding Adults training without reliance upon external, or costed speakers.
Medway Community Healthcare

Overview of 2016-2017

Work continued within MCH during 2016-17 to empower and improve our workforces understanding and confidence in their safeguarding practice. We undertook a review of the safeguarding team and underwent a restructure with the aim of embedding a “Think Family” approach in practice. This has enabled the safeguarding adults and children’s teams to merge as one safeguarding team, increasing resource and access across operational services to safeguarding practice support. We built on earlier successes in providing training that encompassed safeguarding the family across all ages and also reviewed training packages in light of the draft intercollegiate guidance for Safeguarding Adults. The organisation was also subject to a CQC inspection towards the end of the year, we await our report.

Key Achievements

- Introduction of a half day safeguarding training session for all new starters including safeguarding adults and children, domestic abuse and Prevent
- Restructure of the safeguarding teams to enable the embedding of a “Think Family” approach
- Review and implementation of Safeguarding Adults training packages in line with the NHS England Intercollegiate Document (draft)

Key Challenges

- Improving confidence in safeguarding practice in the workforce
- Preparation for our CQC inspection in conjunction with our colleagues
- Communication route clarification regarding quality in care concerns regarding other providers with commissioners

Future Plans 2017-2018

- To initiate the new Think Family approach across all training and supervision packages
- To initiate the Strengthening Families model currently used in Safeguarding Children supervision across Safeguarding Adults supervision
- To work with colleagues in the local authority to review means of communication and multiagency partnership in regards to quality in care concerns.
Medway Council

Overview of 2016-2017

The number of Safeguarding Adult concerns opened by Medway Council were 998 in 2016/17. In 2015/16 there were 965 concerns opened therefore there has been a 3.4% increase in the past year. The Adult Social Care Teams (the Over 25 Disability Team, the Mental Health Social Work team, the 0-25 Disability team, the Older People East team and the Older People West team) retain responsibility for screening and progressing safeguarding adult concerns received by Medway Council. The Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards Service manages and processes all DoLS applications and authorisations.

Medway ASC took part in a safeguarding peer review in December 2016. The report was largely positive but did highlight areas for improvement. An action plan has been developed and a follow up visit from ADASS will take place later in the year.

Key Achievements

- The annual customer satisfaction survey was completed and all responses relating to individuals’ safety were followed up by staff.
- Medway Council has an established working relationship with the safeguarding team at Medway Foundation Trust. Operations Managers attend scrutiny meetings and the MFT Quality Assurance Group. As a result of this we are now working to achieve consistent practice across the Trust and the Council.
- The DoLS team also have a good working relationship with the MFT safeguarding team, ensuring oversight of cases referred. The DoLS team work with the Quality Assurance team in the Council to highlight issues within care homes which require improvement and to ensure good working relationships for the benefit of residents.

Key Challenges

- DOLS applications continue to be a challenge for the Council. Applications continue to increase although this slowed slightly in the year 2016/17 compared to the rise from 2014/15 to 2015/16. Cases are prioritised according to ADASS tool however, there is a steady increase in objections requiring application under s21A to the Court of Protection. The DOLS risk assessment has been updated.
- Adult Social Care is in the process of being restructured. It is planned that the new arrangements will be in place in July 2017.
- Domestic Abuse governance arrangements have been discussed at CMT to ensure oversight from Adult Social Care.
- Implementing Making Safeguarding Personal across all our partners.
- Assessment and authorisation of DoLS applications in a timely manner

Future Plans 2017-2018

- Development of a Performance and Quality Framework
- Devise an action plan to improve practice across the service to ensure that Medway Council is Making Safeguarding Personal compliant.
- A human trafficking and modern day slavery action plan is being developed within the Council with colleagues from Medway Children’s’ Safeguarding Board and the Community Safety Partnership.
- Developing arrangements for community volunteers to promote MSP locally
Medway NHS Foundation Trust

Overview of 2016-2017

In April 2016 a new safeguarding team was recruited at Medway Foundation Trust. The focus of work was to achieve the CQC actions and meet the remedial action plan set by the CCG for safeguarding.

Training was reviewed and implemented. Governance strengthened and the safeguarding profile was raised throughout the organisation. In February 2017 the remedial action plan was closed down and in March 2017 the CQC report was published with the recommendation the Trust be taken out of special measures.

Key Achievements

- Raising the awareness of safeguarding adults, MCA & DOLS across the organisation. This included reviewing all levels of training and the staff roles linked to each level.
- Initiating the PREVENT training and process into the Trust, achieving 48% of those requiring level 1 and 49% of those requiring WRAP 3.
- Developing governance structures and up to date policies and procedural documents to inform practice.

Key Challenges

- Embedding MCA / DOLS knowledge and process into practice.
- Getting clinical engagement from all disciplines in the safeguarding investigation process when carrying out section 42 investigations.
- Managing the external expectations and intense scrutiny in addition to carrying out an increasing workload of safeguarding activity on a day to day basis.

Future Plans 2017-2018

- Audit safeguarding responses and outcomes.
- Develop new substantive team and embed processes for sustainability
- Work closely with partner agencies to ensure that patients are safeguarded appropriately and in a timely manner.
**National Probation Service (NPS)**

The National Probation Service (NPS) South East and Eastern (SEE) Kent Local Delivery Unit (LDU) has a designated lead for Safeguarding Children and Adults; the Senior Operational Support Manager (SOSM).

The SOSM attends the Safeguarding Adults Board (SAB), the Quality Assurance Working Group (QAWG) and is a virtual member of the Learning & Development Group.

During the past year, aside from commitment to the Board itself, the SOSM engaged in the SAB Development Day (December 2016) and works closely with the NPS Kent LDU Safeguarding Officer/Probation Officer located at the Central Referral Unit, Kroner House, Ashford who is responsible for raising adult safeguarding issues amongst frontline practitioners in the NPS Kent LDU including recent involvement in the Safeguarding Adults Awareness Campaign 2017.

**NHS Clinical Commissioning Groups across Kent and Medway**

**Overview of 2016-2017**

Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) are established under the Health and Social Care Act 2012 and are clinically-led membership organisations. They are statutory bodies which have the function of commissioning services for the purposes of the health services in England. CCGs work with closely with NHS England, which has three roles in relation to CCGs. The first is assurance: NHS England has a responsibility to assure themselves that CCGs are fit for purpose, and are improving health outcomes. Secondly, NHS England must help support the development of CCGs. Finally, NHS England are also direct commissioners, responsible for highly specialised services and in some cases primary care, though a number of CCGs have now taken on either full or joint responsibility alongside NHS England for this. As co-commissioners, CCGs work with NHS England’s Regional Teams to ensure joined-up care.

NHS England has a statutory duty (under the Health and Social Care Act (2012)) to conduct an annual assessment of every CCG and it does this through the assurance process. Safeguarding Adults continue to be a high priority for the CCGs and has been embedded across all commissioning intentions.

**Key Achievements**

- Safeguarding training requirements for providers has been reviewed in line with the NHS England Safeguarding Adults: Roles and competences for health care staff – Intercollegiate Document. (draft); provider contractual safeguarding metrics have been revised to reflect these.
- A safeguarding training matrix has been developed by the Designated Nurses that will be circulated to Primary Care
- As part of our commissioning arrangements the expanding agenda for safeguarding is included as part of all providers contracts; this includes all independent health providers and primary care. It is important to note that currently each CCG is at a different stage along the co-commissioning pathway but there is recognition of the need to address safeguarding in all contracts
- Developing a more robust system to measure how primary and secondary care services learn lessons from Safeguarding Adults Reviews and Domestic Homicide Reviews is a
gap that we have not been able to fully address within the current year pan-Kent and will be a key objective for next year. This will be assisted through the new governance structure developed by the SAB.

Key Challenges

- Trying to take practice forward whilst awaiting publication of key documents that impact on learning such as NHS England Safeguarding Intercollegiate document, National Training packages and Prevent competencies.
- Dissemination of co-commissioning responsibilities from NHS England and each CCG taking up co-commissioning at different times.
- Lack of medical advisor resource within CCG’s specifically for adult safeguarding has impacted on the CCG’s ability to support the Serious Adult Review and Domestic Homicide Review processes and gain engagement with primary care.

Future Plans 2017-2018

- Identify statutory role for the Designated Nurse for Safeguarding within the Sustainability and Transformation Plan (STP)
- Developing a more robust system to measure how primary and secondary care services learn lessons from Safeguarding Adults Reviews, Domestic Homicide Reviews and Learning Disabilities Mortality Review (LeDeR) process.
- Taking the lead from the NHS England Prevent agenda (one of their 5 key priority areas), raise awareness and promote the PREVENT agenda within Primary Care.

Report collated by Designated Nurses for Adult Safeguarding from:
- Ashford and Canterbury and Coastal CCGs
- North Kent CCG’s (incorporating NHS Swale, NHS Dartford, Gravesham and Swanley and NHS Medway Clinical Commissioning Groups)
- South Coast Kent and Thanet CCGs
- West Kent CCG
Overview of 2016-2017

During 2016/17 the Safeguarding team has worked hard to raise the profile of safeguarding, and the team, throughout the year, including articles in the Trust’s weekly bulletin and the development of a quick reference guide (pocket-book insert) for safeguarding incorporating both adult and child safeguarding arrangements. Referral rates have been maintained across the whole Trust for the first year, which for Kent, translates to 2527 concerns being shared with Kent Adult services from April 2016 to March 2017 (an increase of 147) and equates to 29% of all adult referrals.

Key Achievements

- Maintaining rates of safeguarding training (level 2 for all frontline staff) to over 90% across the Trust and delivering face to face PREVENT training to 82% of Trust frontline staff.
- Re-starting the Trust Safeguarding Sub-Group to increase Trust-wide accountability and Governance arrangements, this group has overseen the review of all Trust-wide safeguarding related policy and procedures in year.
- Development and implementation of Mental Capacity Act assessment documentation following Trust learning in response to two Safeguarding Adult Reviews.

Key Challenges

- Capacity within the safeguarding team has continued to be a challenge throughout the year.
- Publication of the Care Quality Commission (CQC) report which identified some areas requiring improvement within safeguarding, particularly regarding the training levels for safeguarding children.
- Frequent changes within the Trust leadership team has meant the Safeguarding Department has had three separate executive leads over the past year.

Future plans 2017/18

- The Level 3 training, piloted during 2016/17 is being rolled out to frontline practitioners, of all grades, across the whole Trust. This includes clinical staff at the 111 centre.
- The Trust has reviewed the capacity and the function of the Safeguarding Team, utilising the expertise of the Designated Nurse consultants within the Trust. A key priority will be to ensure that the agreed team structure is finalised and all positions are recruited to
Section 7. Safeguarding Activity

Background to data

The data for this report was extracted from the Kent County Council social care system (SWIFT) and the Medway Council Adult Social Care database Frameworki.

Data included in this report is consistent with the Department of Health (DH) statutory returns: Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) for 2012-13, the Safeguarding Adults Return (SAR) for 2013-14 and 2014-15, and the Safeguarding Adults Collection (SAC) for 2015-16 and 2016-17.

Following the implementation of the Care Act 2014, terminology now used within safeguarding refers to safeguarding concerns and safeguarding enquiries. This terminology has been used within this report.

The first part of the report looks at new adults safeguarding concerns, which is a sign of suspected abuse or neglect that is reported to the local authority or identified by the local authority, and new safeguarding enquiries. Safeguarding enquiries are defined as the action taken, or instigated, by the local authority in response to a concern that abuse or neglect may be taking place.

The second part of the report summarises the outcome of safeguarding enquiries in Kent and Medway.

New safeguarding concerns and enquiries

Number of safeguarding concerns

This section is new to this report this year and presents the number of safeguarding concerns that have been reported to each local authority. Figures are presented for 2016-17 only as this information now forms statutory reported information.

Anyone may report concerns regarding actual, alleged or suspected abuse or neglect. Reports can be made by phone, e-mail or in writing. Safeguarding concerns can include all types of risk, including cases of domestic abuse, sexual exploitation, modern slavery and self-neglect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>9668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medway</td>
<td>998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10666</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.1 Number of safeguarding concerns received in Kent and Medway, 2016-17

The number of concerns received represents significant activity in both Kent and Medway, with a total of 10,666 safeguarding concerns received in the 2016-17 period. Each local authority will need to engage with referrers to determine whether the concerns raised constitute the need to undertake a safeguarding enquiry.
Number of safeguarding enquiries and rate of change

In the period of April 2016 to March 2017, 6023 new safeguarding enquiries were started, which reflects a 44.3% increase. Both Kent and Medway demonstrated increases in enquiry activity, with Kent reflecting the greatest proportion (46.3% increase) and Medway reflecting an increase of 14.9%.

Intelligence suggests that the significant increases seen in enquiry activity in Kent and Medway are associated with greater awareness of safeguarding, with increased awareness through more publications relating to safeguarding and events such as ‘Safeguarding Awareness Week’ providing a basis for increased recognition of safeguarding issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
<th>% change between 15-16 and 16-17</th>
<th>% of Total in 2016-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>3176</td>
<td>3273</td>
<td>3906</td>
<td>5715</td>
<td>46.3%</td>
<td>94.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medway</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3491</td>
<td>3517</td>
<td>4174</td>
<td>6023</td>
<td>44.3%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.2 Number of enquiries year on year and rate of change 2013-14 to 2016-17

Age of alleged victims

The majority of all safeguarding enquiries, 38.1%, related to the 18-64 age group, followed by the 85+ age group where 27.9% of all enquiries related to this age group. Of the 18-64 age group, the highest proportion of enquiries in this age band relate to the 45-54 age group (9.1%) followed by the 55-64 age group (8.6%).

In the 2016-17 year there has been an increased proportion in the age groups of 65-74 where a 1.0% increase has been observed and the 75-84 age group, where a 0.8% increase is reflected. The percentage of enquiries where the age of the alleged victim is unknown has decreased between the four reporting periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
<th></th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th></th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th></th>
<th>2016-17</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-64</td>
<td>1372</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1454</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>1726</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>2294</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>761</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-84</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>20.5%</td>
<td>1284</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>976</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>1678</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3491</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3517</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4174</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>6023</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.3 Age breakdown of alleged victims for the periods 2013-14 to 2016-17

Note: Caution should be taken if comparing the 18-24 age group, as this age group represents a smaller age band than all other age bands.
For comparison purposes, based on the 2016 mid-year population estimates, the following table presents the total adult population, by gender and age range, for Kent and Medway.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Kent Number</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Medway Number</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Kent &amp; Medway combined Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male 18-64</td>
<td>446,611</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>85,858</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>532,469</td>
<td>37.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 18-64</td>
<td>456,313</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>85,750</td>
<td>39.9%</td>
<td>542,063</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Persons 18-64</td>
<td>902,924</td>
<td>74.7%</td>
<td>171,608</td>
<td>79.9%</td>
<td>1,074,523</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 65+</td>
<td>139,105</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>19,755</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>158,860</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 65+</td>
<td>166,819</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>23,482</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>190,301</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Persons 65+</td>
<td>305,924</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>43,237</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>349,161</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Persons 18+</td>
<td>1,208,848</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>214,845</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,423,693</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.3a: Population estimates by Gender and Age Range
Source: Population Estimates Unit, ONS (Crown Copyright).
Data released on 22 June 2017 by the Office for National Statistics.

**Gender of alleged victims**

In 2016-17 the highest proportion of alleged victims was Female at 60.5%, which reflects a marginal increase compared with the 2015-16 percentage. Overall, the proportions remain consistent over the reporting periods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>2013-14 Number</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>2014-15 Number</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>2015-16 Number</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>2016-17 Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td>1366</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>2376</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>2151</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>2494</td>
<td>59.8%</td>
<td>3646</td>
<td>60.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5 or less</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3491</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3517</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>4174</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>6023</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.4 Gender of alleged victims over the periods 2013-14 to 2016-17
For comparison purposes, based on the 2016 mid-year population estimates, the following table presents the total population, by gender, for Kent and Medway.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Kent</th>
<th>Medway</th>
<th>Kent &amp; Medway combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>756,568</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>138,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>785,325</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>140,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Persons</td>
<td>1,541,893</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>278,542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.4a: Population estimates by Gender
Source: Population Estimates Unit, ONS (Crown Copyright).
Data released on 22 June 2017 by the Office for National Statistics.

Ethnicity of alleged victims

Between the periods of 2015-16 and 2016-17, the percentage of enquiries relating to alleged victims from a white background increased from 84.9% to 86.0%. The percentage of alleged victims from a black or ethnic minority background has increased by 0.4%, from 3.3% to 3.7%.

In contrast, enquiries where the ethnic origin was not stated or obtained, has reduced by 1.5%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White*</td>
<td>3077</td>
<td>88.1%</td>
<td>3062</td>
<td>87.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BME **</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stated/ obtained</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>8.8%</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3491</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3517</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.5: Breakdown of Ethnic Group for the periods 2013-14 to 2016-17

* White’ contains the DoH ethnic groups of White British, White Irish, Traveller of Irish Heritage, Gypsy/Roma, Other White Background
** ‘BME’ includes all Asian or Asian British, Black or Black British, Mixed and Other groups

![Ethnic Breakdown of Alleged Victims 2016-17](image-url)
For comparison purposes, based on the 2011 census, the following table presents the total population, by ethnic group, for Kent and Medway.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic Group</th>
<th>Kent</th>
<th>Medway</th>
<th>Kent &amp; Medway combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1,371,102</td>
<td>93.7%</td>
<td>236,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BME</td>
<td>92,638</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>27,346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All usual residents</td>
<td>1,463,740</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>263,925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 7.5a: Kent Population by Ethnic Group*

*Source: 2011 Census: Key Statistics Table 201, Office for National Statistics (ONS) © Crown Copyright*

### Primary Support Reason of alleged victims

The table below shows the number of individuals according to the Primary Support Reason of alleged victims.

As in previous Annual Reports, in both Kent and Medway, the most prevalent support reason remains Physical Support. This is then followed by no support reason at the time of the alleged incident, with Kent and Medway reflecting 21.7% and 22.4% of cases respectively having no support reason. The percentage of cases with no Support Reason are in-line with those previously reported and is to be expected, as individuals subject to a safeguarding referral will not always be receiving support from the local authority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Support Reason</th>
<th>Kent</th>
<th>Medway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Support</td>
<td>36.9%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory Support</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support with Memory &amp; Cognition</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Disability Support</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Support</td>
<td>14.1%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Support</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Support Reason</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 7.6 Breakdown of Primary Support Reason (PSR) for the period 2016-17*

### Location of alleged abuse

Following changes within statutory reporting requirements, the table below has been updated to reflect new codes. These include breaking down the care home location to residential and nursing settings and reporting hospital settings broken down by acute, mental health hospital and community hospital locations. The location of public place has also now been recoded under the setting of ‘in the community (excluding community services)’.
In 2016-17 the most prominent location for incidents of alleged abuse was within the alleged victim’s own home. This location represents 41.1% of all incident locations and has seen a 6.4 percentage point increase over since the previous year, 2015-16. This is a significant increase and the highest percentage seen across the four reporting years for this location.

Previously the care home setting was the main setting of alleged incidences of abuse but this location has seen a 6.3 percentage point drop, to 35.7% in 2016-17.

Please note, from 2015-16 the method of calculating the location of alleged abuse is based on closed enquiries in the reporting year. Therefore, the total number of enquiries will not correlate with earlier sections of the report which detail number of enquiries received within the reporting period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of Alleged Abuse</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Own Home</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>1262</td>
<td>2223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the community (exc. community services)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In a community service</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care Home*</td>
<td>1415</td>
<td>1359</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>1932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care Home - Nursing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care Home - Residential</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital**</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital - Acute</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital - Mental Health</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital - Community</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other***</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Known</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All care home settings, including nursing care, permanent and temporary
** Acute, community hospitals and other health settings
*** Includes any other setting that does not fit into one of the above categories including Not Known.

Table 7.7: Location of alleged abuse for the periods 2013-14 to 2016-17

Types of alleged abuse

Physical abuse has remained to be the most predominant type of risk over the four reporting years as shown in table 7.8. However, the percentage of types of risk relating to Physical abuse has reduced 4.2 percentage points since 2014-15, decreasing to 31.8% in the 2016-17 period.

Neglect and Acts of Omission has remained the second most prevalent type of risk but this type of risk has also reflected a reduction in the 2016-17 period, reducing by 2.5 percentage points to 22.8% in the latest reporting period.

Incidents relating to risk types of Domestic Abuse or Self-Neglect have both reflected percentage increases in the 2016-17 period. Domestic Abuse has increased from 1.7% in 2015-16 to 2.5% in 2016-17, whilst Self-Neglect increased from 1.4% to 6.2% over the same periods.
Table 7.8: Type of Risk (an enquiry may have multiple types of risk recorded – the percentage figures relate to the proportion of all enquiries where each type of risk was apparent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of alleged abuse</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
<th></th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th></th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th></th>
<th>2016-17</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Abuse</td>
<td>1407</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>36.0%</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>2063</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglect and Acts of Omission</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>1090</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>22.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Abuse</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>17.0%</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial or Material Abuse</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Abuse</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisational Abuse</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Abuse</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Neglect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discriminatory Abuse</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Exploitation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 or less</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern Slavery</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 or less</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source of safeguarding concern leading to safeguarding enquiry

Table 7.9 below shows the comparison of the sources of safeguarding concerns leading to safeguarding enquiries over the past four years. As reflected in previous annual reports, the majority of enquiries continue to initiate from social care staff - however; there has been a 0.6 percentage point decrease from 2015-16 to 2016-17. The second most prevalent source group is health staff, which has seen a 5.8% percentage point increase in the last period, rising to 32.2%.
The ‘Other’ category, which includes carers, voluntary agencies/independent sector, anonymous, legal, other LA, Benefits Agency, Probation Service and strangers, has reflected a 5.1% percentage point decrease between 2015-16 and 2016-17.

Both Kent and Medway have safeguarding websites and leaflets accessible by members of the public. Safeguarding Awareness Week is key to increasing safeguarding awareness amongst members of the public.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of safeguarding concern leading to enquiry</th>
<th>2013-14</th>
<th>2014-15</th>
<th>2015-16</th>
<th>2016-17</th>
<th>% point change 2015-16 to 2016-17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Care staff</td>
<td>1689</td>
<td>1602</td>
<td>1701</td>
<td>2654</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Staff</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>1032</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family member</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care Quality Commission</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Referral</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friend/Neighbour</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education/Training/Workplace</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Service User</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5 or less</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 or less</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3491</td>
<td>3517</td>
<td>3906</td>
<td>6023</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.9 Source of safeguarding concerns for the periods 2013-14 to 2016-17

Note: The 2015-16 information does not include Medway data as this data was not collated.

Prior to the review of Medway Council’s computer system in Spring 2016, the data relating to referral source was manually input into the computer system and was difficult to report on. Following review of the safeguarding adults computer system, this data can now be collected. Medway will run a report and analyse this data on a quarterly basis to determine high level of referrals and areas where referral numbers are low or non-existent. This will focus local awareness raising activity.
Closed referrals

Outcome of closed enquiries

The greatest proportion of cases in Kent relate to substantiated cases (33.2%), which has seen a 7.9 percentage point drop from the 41.1% of cases substantiated in 2015-16. The biggest increase relates to the not determined/inconclusive/other interventions outcome, which has reflected an increase of 11% percentage points this year. Other interventions will include self neglect protocols and statutory intervention. In Kent, the total of cases that are not substantiated has fallen by 5.4% (to 31.9%).

In Medway, the highest proportions of cases are not substantiated at 32.1%, which has increased by 1.1 percentage points in 2016-17. Cases that are substantiated represent a slightly lower proportion in Medway (29.5%) when compared with Kent (33.2%). Partly substantiated cases for Medway represent 16.0%, and this remains consistent with the 15.1% seen in 2015-16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Substantiated No.</th>
<th>Substantiated %</th>
<th>Partly Substantiated No.</th>
<th>Partly Substantiated %</th>
<th>Not Substantiated No.</th>
<th>Not Substantiated %</th>
<th>Not determined/inconclusive/Other Interventions No.</th>
<th>Not determined/inconclusive/Other Interventions %</th>
<th>Investigation ceased at request of individual No.</th>
<th>Investigation ceased at request of individual %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>1692</td>
<td>33.2%</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medway</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>29.5%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1784</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>42.8%</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.10 Outcome of closed enquiries in Kent and Medway 2016-17
Risk outcomes for closed enquiries

This section looks at where a risk was identified, what happened to the risk following action being taken. Action can include anything that has been done as a result of the safeguarding concern or enquiry. It can include examples such as disciplinary action for the source of risk or increased monitoring of the individual at risk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Risk Remained</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Risk Reduced</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Risk Removed</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>2096</td>
<td>80.3%</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medway</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>2176</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.11: Risk Outcomes for closed safeguarding enquiries 2016-17
Note: Only presents information for cases where a risk was identified.

In Kent, there were 3.9% of cases where the circumstances causing the risk were unchanged and the same degree of risk remained. In Medway this risk outcome represents 13.4%. It should be acknowledged that there are valid reasons that a risk could remain, for example in the case of an individual wanting to maintain contact with a family member who was the source of the risk. In such an example action could still be taken to refer the individual at risk for counselling.

Table 7.11 demonstrates that in both Kent and Medway the greatest proportions relate to risk being reduced or removed. In 96.1% of cases where a risk was identified in Kent, the risk was either reduced or removed. In Medway a similar picture is presented, with 86.6% of cases where a risk was identified having the risk reduced or removed.
Section 8. Priorities for 2017-2018

The KMSAB Annual Plan for 2017–2018 details how we will meet the following priorities:

- We will engage with residents of Kent and Medway, empowering and enabling them to contribute to safeguarding and the work of the Board.

- We will ensure that we learn from the outcomes of Safeguarding Adult Reviews (SARs), Domestic Homicide Reviews (DHRs) and Children’s Serious Case Reviews (SCRs) and these directly influence practice improvements.

- We will ensure our structure and governance arrangements enable us to meet our statutory duties effectively and efficiently.

- We will ensure that our Policy, Procedures and Guidance documents are compliant, easy to use and reviewed and updated regularly.

- We will provide a high quality multi-agency training offer.
Appendices

Appendix 1: Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board Principles and Values

The Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board is underpinned by the following principles and values:

- It is every adult’s right to live free from abuse in accordance with the principles of respect, dignity, autonomy, privacy and equity
- All agencies and services should ensure that their own policies and procedures make it clear that they have a zero tolerance of abuse
- Priority will be given to the prevention of abuse, by raising the awareness of adult safeguarding issues and by fostering a culture of good practice through support and care provision, commissioning and contracting
- Adults who are susceptible or subjected to abuse or mistreatment will receive the highest priority for assessment and support services
- These principles are applicable to all adults whether living in a domestic setting, care home, social services or health setting, or any community setting
- Protection of adults experiencing, or at risk of, abuse or neglect, is a multi-agency responsibility and all agencies and services should actively work together to address the abuse of adults
- Interventions should be based on the concept of empowerment and participation of the individual at risk
- These principles should constitute an integral part of the philosophy and working practices of all agencies involved with adults experiencing, or at risk of, abuse or neglect, and should not be seen in isolation
- It is the responsibility of all agencies to take steps to ensure that adults experiencing, or at risk of, abuse or neglect, are discharged from their care to a safe and appropriate setting
- The need to provide support for carers must be taken into account when planning services for adults experiencing, or at risk of, abuse or neglect, and a carer’s assessment should be offered
- These principles are based upon a commitment to equal opportunities and practice in respect of race, culture, religion, disability, gender, age or sexual orientation
Appendix 2: Types of Abuse

- **Physical abuse** is when someone is physically harmed by another person, for example through assault, such as slapping, pushing, kicking or rough handling. It can also include the misuse of medication, or inappropriate sanctions or restraint.

- **Domestic Abuse** is when abuse occurs between partners, former partners or by a family member. It can include psychological, physical, sexual, financial or emotional abuse as well as ‘honour’ based violence, forced marriage and female genital mutilation.

- **Sexual abuse** relates to any sexual activity which the adult has not consented to, was not able to consent to or was pressured into consenting to. This can include rape, sexual assault or harassment, sexual photography, subjection to pornography or inappropriate touching.

- **Psychological abuse** includes emotional abuse, verbal assault, intimidation, bullying, cyber bullying, abandonment, threats of harm, humiliation or blaming. Any unjustified withdrawal of services or support networks is also a form of psychological abuse, as is not letting the person have choices or ignoring their wishes.

- **Financial or material abuse**, abuse relates to theft, fraud, internet fraud/scams, exploitation or pressure in connection with financial affairs or arrangements. It can also include the misuse or misappropriation of property, possessions or benefits.

- **Modern slavery** is when individuals are coerced, deceived or forced into a life of abuse, servitude and inhumane treatment. This can be through human trafficking, forced labour or domestic servitude.

- **Discriminatory abuse** is when a person suffers ill-treatment or harassment because of their race, gender, cultural background, religion, physical and/or sensory impairment, sexual orientation or age. This can be referred to as hate crime.

- **Organisational abuse** is where an adult is placed at risk through poor professional practice and/or organisational failings. It can be a one-off incident or ongoing ill-treatment or neglect. This abuse can happen when care and support is provided at home or within an institution or care setting, such as a hospital or residential home.

- **Neglect and acts of omission** is when someone deliberately or unintentionally causes a person to suffer by failing to provide the required medical or physical care. This may include failing to provide access to appropriate health, social care or education. This can result in their essential day to day needs, such as: medication, food, drink and heating, being denied.

- **Self-neglect** is when a person’s behaviour, such as neglecting to care for personal hygiene, health or surroundings, has a detrimental effect on their health and wellbeing. It can include behaviour such as hoarding.

- **Forced Marriage** is a marriage in which one or both of the parties is married without his or her consent or against his or her will.

- **Honour Based Violence** is a term used to describe violence committed within the context of the extended family which is motivated by a perceived need to restore standing within the community, which is presumed to have been lost through the behaviour of the victim.

- **Hate Crime** is any crime that is targeted at a person because of hostility or prejudice towards that person’s: disability, race or ethnicity, religion or belief or sexual orientation.

- **Mate Crime** is a form of crime in which a perpetrator befriends a vulnerable person with the intention of then exploiting the person financially, physically or sexually.
Appendix 3: Kent and Medway Safeguarding Adults Board Governance Structure (2016-17)

*KMSAB joined this working group in February 2017. It is a joint working group with Kent Safeguarding Children’s Board and Medway Safeguarding Children’s Board*
If you think you or another person is at risk of harm or abuse, please contact:

**KENT**
Tel: 03000 41 61 61
NGT: 18001 03000 416161
Kent.gov.uk/adultprotection

**MEDWAY**
Tel: 01634 334466
NGT: 18001 01634 334 466
Medway.gov.uk/abuse

If someone is in immediate risk contact the emergency services on 999