Kent County Council Equality Analysis/ Impact Assessment (EqIA)

Directorate/ Service: Infrastructure

Name of decision, policy, procedure, project or service: Policy and Code of Practice

for the management of Hot & Cold Water systems

Responsible Owner/ Senior Officer: Rebecca Spore

Version: 1 – First EqIA

Author: Mark Duggan (Gen2 Property Ltd)

Pathway of Equality Analysis: CMT/DMT - Review by Rebecca Spore

Summary and recommendations of equality analysis/impact assessment.

Context

KCC have in place a Water Hygiene Management policy which determines the manner in which water systems will be managed within KCC buildings. In effect this is an operational management policy which is specifically designed to minimise or eliminate the potential Health & Safety risks of Legionella in water systems.

Aims and Objectives

To determine whether the revised policy would have an impact on any member of staff, the public or contractor's workforce which unfairly discriminates or disadvantages them, in the context of the equalities act.

Summary of equality impact

Overall the Water Hygiene policy has no day-to-day impact on any user of a building other than to protect them from the potential harmful effects of exposure to the Legionella Bacterium in airbourne form. It primarily sets out a framework (Processes and procedures) against which contractors must maintain water systems and conduct their activities, in order to eliminate exposure (usually arising from atomisation of water containing the Legionella virus).

Adherence to the policy provides the same level of protection for all building users equally and whilst the elderly, infirm or very young may be more susceptible to the effects of Legionella if contracted, no impacts have been identified that arise directly from the application of this policy, which could adversely affect one group more than any other. Correct adherence to this policy provides protection for all and thus reduces the potential for contracting the Legionella virus.

Adverse Equality Impact Rating Low

Attestation

I have read and paid due regard to the Equality Analysis/Impact Assessment concerning the KCC Policy and Code of Practice for the Management of Hot & Cold Water Systems. I agree with risk rating and the actions to mitigate any adverse impact(s) that has /have been identified.

Updated 15/03/2018

Head of Service

Name: Karen Ripley

Job Title: Head of Property Strategy and Commissioning

Signed:

Date:

14/3/18

DMT Member

Name: Rebecca Spore

Job Title: Director of Infrastructure

Signed: P Rivolby

Head of Bisiness Partnerships & Relationship

Date: 15/3/18

Part 1 Screening

Could this policy, procedure, project or service, or any proposed changes to it, affect any Protected Group (listed below) less favourably (negatively) than others in Kent?

Could this policy, procedure, project or service promote equal opportunities for this group?

Protected Group	Please provide a brief c	Please provide a brief commentary on your findings. Fuller analysis should be undertaken in	lings. Fuller analysis sh	ould be undertaken in
	Part 2.			
	High negative impact	Medium negative	Low negative impact	High/Medium/Low
=	EqiA	impact	Evidence	Positive Impact
		Screen		Evidence
Age	None	None	None	None
Disability	None	None	None	None
Gender	None	None	None	None
Gender identity/	None	None	None	None
Transgender				
Race	None	None	None	None
Religion and Belief	None	None	None	None
Sexual Orientation	None	None	None	None
Pregnancy and Maternity	None	None	None	None
Marriage and Civil	None	None	None	None
Partnerships		:		
Carer's	None	None	None	None
Responsibilities				

Updated 15/03/2018

ന

This document is available in other formats, Please contact Steve.hamilton@gen2.co.uk, 03000 416195

Part 2

Equality Analysis /Impact Assessment

Protected groups

No impacts identified

Information and Data used to carry out your assessment

Review of existing Water Hygiene Policy and reference to standard procedures.

Who have you involved consulted and engaged?

Akua Agyepong - Corporate Lead for Equality & Diversity GEN2 Compliance and H&S managers TFM Contract managers

Analysis

The primary purpose of the analysis was to determine whether the application of this revised policy would impact any one group of users more than another. Whilst the effect of the Legionella virus can be more acute in certain groups of building users, this is not increased by the presence of this policy, since its objective is to reduce/eliminate the risk of contraction. In this regard the policy applies to all building users equally and no specific impacts have been identified that arise directly from the implementation of this policy.

Adverse Impact,

None

Positive Impact:

None

JUDGEMENT

No major change - No impacts identified

Internal Action Required

There is no identified potential for adverse impact on particular groups and no further action is required.

Equality Impact Analysis/Assessment Action Plan

outcomes Owner Timescale Cost implications			
Issues identified Action to			

Have the actions been included in your business/ service plan?

Appendix N/A

Cabinet report. Your EqIA should also be published. The original signed hard copy and electronic copy should be kept with your If the activity will be subject to a Cabinet decision, the EqIA must be submitted to committee services along with the relevant Please forward a final signed electronic copy and Word version to the Equality Team by emailing diversityinfo@kent.gov.uk team for audit purposes.

Updated 15/03/2018

Ŋ

This document is available in other formats, Please contact Steve.hamilton@gen2.co.uk, 03000 416195