EQIA Submission – ID Number

Section A

EQIA Title	Future Se	ervice Options	for External Fostering Arrangements
Responsible Off	icer	Rebecca Rho	des
Type of Activ	ity		
Service Change			
Service Redesign	n		
Project/Progran	nme		
Commissioning/	Procurem/	ent	✓
Strategy/Policy			
Details of other	Service Ac	tivity	
Accountabilit	y and Re	sponsibility	
Directorate			Children, Young People and Education
Responsible Ser	vice		Integrated Children's Services
Responsible Hea	ad of Servi	ce	Christy Holden
Responsible Dire	ector		Sarah Hammond

Aims and Objectives

Local Authorities as part of their Sufficiency Duty must take steps to secure, as far as reasonably practicable, sufficient accommodation within its areas to meet the needs of children they are looking after and, helps support social workers in matching their requirements to providers and foster carers.

The aim of this activity is to set out how the Council will meet its responsibilities with regard to children and young people in care living in independent fostering provision through the commissioning of a Framework Agreement and effective contract management.

Kent County Council seeks to commission high quality foster care that provides a family environment in a home, bringing stability and which meets individual Children's and Young People's needs and outcomes, at the right time, with the right carers, in the right location.

By April 2022, the Council will secure a framework for Independent Fostering Providers (IFP's) to join so that placements for children and young people in care assessed as requiring fostering through an IFA is sourced and managed via a contract, in line with Spending the Council's Money and Public Contract Regulations.

The beneficiaries as a result of further commissioning work are the children in care for whom the Council has a corporate parenting responsibility.

We would not expect to move any children that are already in settled placements as a result of the outcome of the procurement and award of the Framework.

Commissioning a new framework provides an opportunity to re-think the structure of placement types and categories of need and consider additional specialist placements to meet current and emerging needs of our children in care population.

As part of the Council's standard contractual terms and conditions, service providers will be required to have an Equality and Diversity policy and meet the requirements of all related legislation. This is monitored as part of contract compliance on an annual basis. Social workers have a responsibility under relevant care

planning legislation to monitor their placements to ensure that all their needs are being met and that individual outcomes are being achieved.

Section B – Evidence	
Do you have data related to the	Yes
protected groups of the people	
impacted by this activity?	
It is possible to get the data in a timely	Yes
and cost effective way?	
Is there national evidence/data that	Yes
you can use?	
Have you consulted with stakeholders?	Yes
14d 1 1 1 1	1 91 2

Who have you involved, consulted and engaged with?

The Market

- Regular attendance at the Fostering Network Kent Independent Providers Forum (six weekly)
 Framework and non-Framework (Spot) Providers. A varied agenda including national, regional, and local policy and practice issues and information exchange, Commissioning and Provider issues, KCC updates.
- Regular updates from national bodies including the Nationwide Association of Fostering Providers and National Fostering Network.
- KCC led Provider Forum meetings (six monthly) Information exchange and updates, including policy, practice, and performance.
- KCC produced and shared a survey with IFPs to gain an understanding of their experience of working
 with the current framework agreement as well as any insight of good practice they have from
 working with other local authorities.
- KCC are running a focus group with IFPs to assist in the shaping of new arrangements.

Our Partner (Medway Council)

 Regular Partnership meetings with Medway Council to manage the existing Framework, including Contract Compliance.

Operational Teams

• Both the Children in Care (CIC) teams and the Total Placement Service (TPS) were approached for their views on the current Framework Agreement and provision.

Children and Young People

• Virtual School Kent's participation team have worked with a small number of children and young people seeking their views on living with IFP carers.

All of the above engagement with stakeholders has enabled opportunities to discuss equality issues and this has influenced the content of the service specification. It is felt that all key stakeholders have been consulted and engaged through the planning and development of the new contract.

Has there been a previous Equality	No
Analysis (EQIA) in the last 3 years?	
Do you have evidence that can help	Yes
you understand the potential impact of	
your activity?	

Section C – Impact

Who may be impacted by the activity?	
Service Users/clients	Yes

Staff	Yes
Residents/Communities/Citizens	No
Are there any positive impacts for all or	Yes
any of the protected groups as a result	
of the activity that you are doing?	
Details of Positive Impacts	

Development for future IFP provision will mean looked after children and young people can remain in the county and be supported and enabled to integrate socially and develop emotionally within the community. Future IFP provision will achieve this by continuing to support the education of looked after children and young people as well as maintaining appropriate links with family and connected persons.

Additional benefits from carrying out this activity include:-

- enabling access to a wide range of foster care placement types from registered, good quality IFP's
- Improving placement stability supported by effective matching
- Exhibiting strong collaboration and partnership working to ensure the child's needs and outcomes are central
- Demonstrating the involvement of the child or young person ensuring their voice is heard and listened to through participation in decision making (where appropriate)
- Demonstrating effective and efficient communication to support placement finding

The proposal will not impact negatively on children, young people and their families currently receiving these services. The planning and modelling of a new contract will enable us to improve the way we meet a diverse range of needs and achieve the required outcomes by ensuring that the services we commission and purchase from the Independent Fostering sector are fit for purpose and in line with the Council's new Commissioning and Procurement requirements. This will be monitored and evidenced through the robust contract management arrangements and the statutory care reviews. In addition, Independent Fostering Providers are inspected by Ofsted, and the Council regularly monitors the ratings and takes this into consideration should any under-performance or quality requires a sanction process. Continuity of service provision will be ensured by having a transition and mobilisation plan in phase.

The external fostering supply market in Kent has not seen considerable growth over the last few years. However, the market does experience some limited instability through periodic ownership changes including equity company buy-outs and parent company changes. Ofsted inspection outcomes will also impact on which providers the Council chooses to work with.

The current Framework Agreement includes a total of 36 Independent Fostering Providers, and the provision includes: -

- Long term or permanent placements (as per care plan 12 months and over)
- Short Term / Task Focused / Bridging placements (up to 12 months)
- Short Break Placements for disabled children
- Parent(s) and Child(ren)
- Step Down
- Emergency (same day, out of hours and/or within 24 hours of referral)
- Sibling groups
- Solo placements (with no other children within the foster household)

The Council wishes to provide greater clarity between which types of placements and age cohorts will be required from IFP's through its Commissioning Strategy including new and emerging demand for specialist

placement types further benefiting recruitment strategies for the IFP's and the protected groups described in the screening. This will relate to all children and all protected groups and characteristics as this will be identified within the service specification and as part of the referral and matching process for placements. This will also provide greater transparency to the marketplace regarding the Council's future demand and where capacity is required from IFP's.

Every placement is currently based on the individual needs of the child as described in their care plan and placement referral form. This practice will continue under the new commissioning arrangements for Independent Fostering provision.

Negative impacts and Mitigating Actions

19. Negative Impacts and Mitigating actions for Age

Are there negative impacts for age? Yes

Details of negative impacts for Age

It is clear from data that KCC's in-house fostering service is able to place most of the children in the 0-4year-old age group. Therefore, the focus of the new IFP Service will rest predominantly on the placement of 5-18-year-olds.

Mitigating Actions for Age

Although a new IFP Service will continue to provide and improve the number and quality of local placements available regardless of age group, there will be further commissioning work involving the market to look at the number of placements Kent requires for older children, particularly adolescents. Needs relating to age will be identified in the child/young person's care plan and included in referrals made

to IFPs. Responsible Officer for Mitigating Madeline Bishop Actions - Age

20. Negative impacts and Mitigating actions for Disability

Are there negative impacts for Disability?

Details of Negative Impacts for Disability

Mitigating actions for Disability

Responsible Officer for Disability

21. Negative Impacts and Mitigating actions for Sex

Are there negative impacts for Sex

No

Details of negative impacts for Sex

Mitigating actions for Sex

Responsible Officer for Sex

22. Negative Impacts and Mitigating actions for Gender identity/transgender

Are there negative impacts for Gender identity/transgender

No

Negative impacts for Gender identity/transgender

Mitigating actions for Gender identity/transgender

Responsible Officer for mitigating actions for Gender

identity/transgender

23. Negative impacts and Mitigating actions for Race

Are there negative impacts for Race

No

Negative impacts for Race					
Mitigating actions for Race					
Responsible Officer for mitigating					
actions for Race					
24. Negative impacts and Mitigating action	ons for Religion and belief				
Are there negative impacts for Religion	No				
and belief					
Negative impacts for Religion and belief					
Mitigating actions for Religion and belief					
Responsible Officer for mitigating					
actions for Religion and Belief					
25. Negative impacts and Mitigating action	ons for Sexual Orientation				
Are there negative impacts for Sexual	No				
Orientation					
Negative impacts for Sexual Orientation					
Mitigating actions for Sexual Orientation					
Responsible Officer for mitigating					
actions for Sexual Orientation					
26. Negative impacts and Mitigating action	ons for Pregnancy and Maternity				
Are there negative impacts for	No				
Pregnancy and Maternity					
Negative impacts for Pregnancy and Mat	ernity				
Mitigating actions for Pregnancy and Ma	ternity				
Responsible Officer for mitigating					
actions for Pregnancy and Maternity					
27. Negative impacts and Mitigating action	ons for Marriage and Civil Partnerships				
Are there negative impacts for	Yes				
Marriage and Civil Partnerships					
Negative impacts for Marriage and Civil F	Partnerships				
	riage is 16. Fostering placements do not allow partners to stay				
permanently in this type of provision.					
Mitigating actions for Marriage and Civil	·				
	eeds will be identified in services users' care plans and included in				
referrals made to IFPs. Support will be provided to signpost servicer users onto accommodation that will					
allow partners to stay more often / permanently.					
Responsible Officer for Marriage and	Madeline Bishop				
Civil Partnerships					
28. Negative impacts and Mitigating actions for Carer's responsibilities					
Are there negative impacts for Carer's	No				
responsibilities					
Negative impacts for Carer's responsibility	ties				
NATIONAL CONTRACTOR CO					
Mitigating actions for Carer's responsibil	ities				

Responsible Officer for Carer's		
responsibilities		