

Alignment of LOF measures with current KCC reporting

LOF Priority	LOF measure	Equivalent KCC Cabinet Committee dashboard measure
Housing Supply	Metrics to capture LA contribution to housing supply (TBC)	N/a (Districts responsible)
Housing quality and safety	Percentage of rental housing deemed decent	N/a (Districts responsible)
	Percentage of homes EPC C and above	
	Percentage completed remediation for unique buildings	
	Percentage of LA-owned social housing deemed decent	
	Private Rented Sector enforcement (placeholder)	
Homelessness and rough sleeping	Rate of households with children in temporary accommodation per 1,000	N/a (Districts responsible)
	Number of families in B&B over 6 weeks	
	Percentage of duties owed where homelessness prevented or relieved	
	Percentage for households with multiple disadvantage (placeholder)	
	Number of people sleeping rough on a single night	
	Number of long-term rough sleepers over a month	

LOF Priority	LOF measure	Equivalent KCC Cabinet Committee dashboard measure
Multiple disadvantage	Proportion of opiate/crack users not in treatment (unmet need)	No
	Percentage prison leavers in treatment within 3 weeks	
	Number households referred to domestic abuse safe accommodation but unsupported	
	Percentage where homelessness prevented/relieved (multiple disadvantage)	N/a (Districts responsible)
	Number of long-term rough sleepers over the month	
	Mental ill-health metric (placeholder)	N/a (No specific LOF measures yet)
	Percentage in substance use treatment achieving progress (placeholder)	
Best start in life	Percentage with good development at age 5	Yes
	Difference in GLD between FSM and non-FSM	
	Percentage with good development at 2–2.5 years	
	Take-up of 2-year-old childcare offer	
	Take-up of 3–4-year-old 15 hours offer	No – but other measures of take-up to 4 years of age are used internally
	Best Start Family Hubs (placeholder)	N/a (No specific LOF measure yet)

LOF Priority	LOF measure	Equivalent KCC Cabinet Committee dashboard measure
Every child achieving and thriving	KS2 expected standard attainment	Yes
	KS2 disadvantage gap	
	KS4 attainment 8 (in development)	
	KS4 disadvantage gap	
	Percentage of 16–17 NEET	
	Percentage SEN pupils meeting expected KS2 standard	
	KS4 attainment for SEN pupils	
	First-time youth justice entrants	
	Youth offending reoffending rate	
	Percentage SEN children supported in mainstream	
	Persistent and severe absence	
	Post-16 destinations including SEN	No
	Absence for SEN pupils	
	Percentage physically inactive (children)	
	Mental health/wellbeing for children (placeholder)	N/a (No specific LOF measure yet)
	Children not in school (placeholder)	
Participation in youth services (placeholder)		

LOF Priority	LOF measure	Equivalent KCC Cabinet Committee dashboard measure
Keeping children safe (children's social care)	Rate of children looked after per 10,000	Yes
	KS2 attainment for CSC children	
	KS4 attainment for CSC children	
	Repeat child protection plans	
	Care leavers in EET	
	CSC workforce vacancy rates	
	Placement stability (3+ placements)	No – but are reported within KCC
	Care leavers in suitable accommodation	
	Average SDQ score for children looked after	
	Children placed over 20 miles from home	
	Persistent absence among CSC children	
	Percentage ceasing looked after due to SGO/RO/CAO	
	Child protection plans >2 years	
	Placement types	
	Share of spend not on children looked after	

LOF Priority	LOF measure	Equivalent KCC Cabinet Committee dashboard measure
Health and wellbeing	Smoking quit success rates	Yes
	Child development at 2–2.5 years	
	NHS Health Check coverage	
	Healthy life expectancy at birth	No – but are reported within KCC
	Slope index of inequality in life expectancy	
	Alcohol-specific mortality rate	
	Year 6 obesity prevalence	
	Adult physical inactivity	
	Under-18 conception rate	
	Dental decay in 5-year-olds	
	Suicide rate	
	Percentage smoking population setting quit date	
	STI testing rate	
	Unmet need for substance misuse treatment	No
	PrEP initiation/continuation (HIV prevention)	
Substance use progress (placeholder)	N/a (No specific LOF measure yet)	
Adult social care – quality	Quality of life of people using services	No – but are reported within KCC (please note some of these are only published once every two years)
	Quality of life of carers	
	Overall satisfaction of carers	
	Overall satisfaction of service users	
	Safeguarding: risk reduced or removed	

LOF Priority	LOF measure	Equivalent KCC Cabinet Committee dashboard measure
Adult social care – quality (continued)	Control over daily life	No – but are reported within KCC (please note some of these are only published once every two years)
	Ease of finding information	
	Carer involvement in decisions	
	Number receiving assessments with no prior support	
	Workforce turnover rate	No – the LOF measure includes staff not employed by Local Authorities. Local workforce measures are monitored by KCC.
Adult social care – independence, choice and control	Percentage receiving direct payments	Yes
	Number receiving long-term support	
	Reablement success (no further support required)	No – but oversight within KCC does happen
	Percentage living at home or with family	No – but is covered through other means
Adult social care - neighbourhood health / integration	Reablement after hospital discharge (remain in community)	Yes
	Admissions to residential/nursing care per 100,000	
Neighbourhoods	Adults feel others can be trusted	No – this LOF measure is mostly reported at regional level
	Satisfaction with local cultural/community facilities	No – but some services run their own satisfaction surveys
	Volunteering rate	No – but some KCC services do measure volunteer participation
	People feeling able to influence decisions	No
	Satisfaction with local area as a place to live	
	Social cohesion: people from different backgrounds getting along	
	Loneliness rate	

LOF Priority	LOF measure	Equivalent KCC Cabinet Committee dashboard measure
Neighbourhoods (continued)	Cultural engagement (in-person past 12 months)	No
	Fly-tipping enforcement rate	N/a (Districts responsible)
	Anti-social behaviour (placeholder)	N/a (No specific LOF measure yet)
	Neighbourhood crime (placeholder)	
	Access to green and blue spaces (placeholder)	
	Library physical visits (placeholder)	N/a (No specific LOF measure yet), although this is reported in a KCC Cabinet Committee dashboard
Environment, circular economy and climate change	Percentage household waste recycled/composted/reused	Yes
	Residual household waste per household	
	Percentage of food waste collected separately	No – but is reported within KCC
	Local sites in positive conservation management	No – coordinated by Kent Wildlife Trust
	Deaths attributable to PM2.5 air pollution	No
	Flood resilience (placeholder)	N/a (No specific LOF measure yet)
	Climate change mitigation (placeholder)	
Transport and local infrastructure	Public transport connectivity score	No
	Passenger bus journeys per head	
	Percentage adults in active travel	
	Road maintenance condition by class	
	EV charge points per 100,000 population	
	Percentage change in bus service mileage	
	Killed or seriously injured per billion vehicle miles	No – but do report on the number of people killed or seriously injured

LOF Priority	LOF measure	Equivalent KCC Cabinet Committee dashboard measure
Economic prosperity and regeneration (used for context)	18–24 full-time education or employment	Yes
	16–64 employment rate	No – but are reported on kent.gov
	Percentage with RQF Level 4+ qualifications	
	Enterprise births	
	Enterprise deaths	
	High-growth enterprises	
	Business density	
	Business survival rate	
	GVA per hour worked	
	Median weekly pay	
	Gross disposable household income	
	Gigabit broadband coverage	
	Economic prosperity and regeneration (continued)	
Income deprivation affecting children index		
Income deprivation affecting older people index		
Outdoor 5G coverage		
Child poverty (used for context)	Children in low-income families	No – but is reported on kent.gov.uk